

PAPER

Potential reconstruction for a class of hyperbolic systems from incomplete measurements

To cite this article: Nicolás Carreño *et al* 2018 *Inverse Problems* **34** 085005

View the [article online](#) for updates and enhancements.

Potential reconstruction for a class of hyperbolic systems from incomplete measurements

Nicolás Carreño¹, Roberto Morales²  and Axel Osses^{2,3}

¹ Departamento de Matemática, Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María, Casilla 110-V, Valparaíso, Chile

² Facultad de Ciencias Físicas y Matemáticas, Departamento de Ingeniería Matemática, Universidad de Chile, Santiago, Chile

³ Centro de Modelamiento Matemático, UMR 2071 CNRS-Uchile, Santiago, Chile

E-mail: nicolas.carrenog@usm.cl, rmorales@dim.uchile.cl and axosses@dim.uchile.cl

Received 7 November 2017, revised 13 April 2018

Accepted for publication 22 May 2018

Published 12 June 2018



CrossMark

Abstract

In this article, we study the reconstruction of spatially dependent potentials in n coupled hyperbolic equations in cascade from $n - 1$ components of the solution of the system. More precisely, we prove local uniqueness and Lipschitz stability for this inverse problem. The main tool is a Carleman estimate for a cascade system with missing observations.

Keywords: inverse problem, Carleman estimates, potential reconstruction, cascade hyperbolic systems

1. Introduction

1.1. General setting

Let Ω be a smooth open set in \mathbb{R}^d with boundary $\partial\Omega$, $d \geq 1$ and $T > 0$. Let us consider the following coupled hyperbolic system in cascade:

$$\begin{cases} \square u_1 + q_1 u_1 = a_1 u_2 + g_1, & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ \square u_2 + q_2 u_2 = a_2 u_3 + g_2, & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \square u_{n-1} + q_{n-1} u_{n-1} = a_{n-1} u_n + g_{n-1}, & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ \square u_n + q_n u_n = g_n, & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ \partial_t^k u_j(0) = u_j^k, \quad k = 0, 1, \quad j = 1, \dots, n, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u_j = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, n, & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, T). \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

Here, $\square := \partial_t^2 - \Delta$ is the D'Alembertian operator, a_j are non-zero constants, $u_j^k \in L^2(\Omega)$ are the initial conditions and $q_j \in L^2(\Omega)$ are the potentials and $g_j \in L^2(\Omega \times (0, T))$ are the source terms, for every $k = 0, 1$ and $j = 1, \dots, n$.

It is well known that if $g_j \in L^1(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$, $u_j^0 \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ and $u_j^1 \in L^2(\Omega)$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, system (1.1) is well posed in the sense of Hadamard (see for instance [35]).

Hyperbolic and parabolic systems play an important role in biological, chemical, engineering, mechanical and medical applications. Nevertheless, some components of such models are not accessible in practice. Motivated for this kind of limitations, some natural questions arise: can we observe such systems from incomplete measurements? Can we retrieve information of the inaccessible components of such systems from information of the accessible ones? These questions has been studied recently by several authors for different kind of PDE models, see for instance [1, 5, 15] and the bibliographic discusion below.

In this paper, we are interested in the following inverse problem:

1.1.1. Inverse problem. Is it possible to retrieve the potentials q_1, \dots, q_n in system (1.1) from incomplete data, that is to say, from a reduced number of measurements of the solution?

In particular, we are interested in the stability of the potentials in terms of the observations of the solution of system (1.1) when the last component is missing.

1.2. Literature

Bukhgeim and Klibanov dealt for the first time with uniqueness issues in inverse problems for the wave equation in [32] using local Carleman estimates. Then, the first results about the stability of inverse problems for hyperbolic equations were obtained using local Carleman estimates (see e.g. [11, 13, 26, 29, 30, 38]). Concerning other inverse problems for the wave equation with a single observation, we refer to [8, 27, 28, 33] and the references therein. In these articles, the authors consider the case of interior or Dirichlet boundary data observation satisfying geometric conditions and they use global Carleman estimates. We refer to [10, 12] for logarithmic stability results when no geometric condition is fulfilled. Let us also mention the work [31] where the authors proved the uniqueness of the inverse problem of recovering a spatial component of the source term of the wave equation from the final observation data.

However, to the best of our knowledge, there exist few works concerning inverse problems for coupled parabolic or hyperbolic systems with incomplete measurements of their components. In the recent work [5], the authors study the reconstruction of the spatial distribution of external forces only from data of one component of a 2 coupled hyperbolic system in cascade. The proof is based on an observability property of such system, following the approach of [37].

Similar inverse problems for linear and semilinear parabolic systems like reaction-diffusion systems has been studied in [14, 15, 18–20]. In these articles, the authors deal with identification and stability of the inverse problem of recovering parameters and initial conditions of such systems from a finite number of measurements of one component using appropriate Carleman estimates for parabolic equations.

Furthermore, hyperbolic–parabolic systems are considered in [24] with different kinds of observations. Another relevant work is [25] for the Stokes system, where the authors give a reconstruction algorithm for a source of the form $F(x, t) = f(x)\sigma(t)$ from incomplete velocity measurements.

Exact controllability properties of hyperbolic systems with a reduced number of controls has been extensively studied and there exist many works published on this topic. In [1], a

strategy called two-level energy method is developed to prove positive results in the case of wave-type systems (see also [2, 3, 6], and the references therein). Moreover these results allow to deduce null-controllability results for the heat or the Schrödinger equations satisfying the geometric control condition using the transmutation method.

Furthermore, the literature is also very rich in controllability results for coupled parabolic systems with a reduced numbers of controls in the one or multidimensional setting. We refer to the survey article [7, 23], and the references therein.

Coupled systems are also connected with insensitizing control problems, notion introduced by Lions in [34]. Indeed, these problems are equivalent to the null-controllability of a cascade system. We reference to [21, 36, 4] for some results about this subject in the case of wave-type equations, [16, 17, 22] in the case of parabolic equations and systems.

1.3. Main result

In this article, we will give a Lipschitz stability result for system (1.1) stated in the introduction, from observations in all the components of the system except the last one.

We shall assume some geometrical and time conditions which are classical in the context of control and inverse problems for hyperbolic equations. Let $x_0 \notin \bar{\Omega}$, $\Gamma_0 \subset \partial\Omega$ and $T > 0$ such that:

- **Geometric condition:**

$$\{x \in \partial\Omega; (x - x_0) \cdot \nu(x) \geq 0\} \subset \Gamma_0 \subset \partial\Omega. \quad (1.2)$$

- **Time condition:**

$$\sup_{x \in \Omega} |x - x_0| < \sqrt{\beta} T, \quad \text{for some } \beta \in (0, 1). \quad (1.3)$$

Now, we define the admissible set of the unknown potentials. For a positive number m , set

$$L_{\leq m}^{\infty}(\Omega) = \{p \in L^{\infty}(\Omega); \|p\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq m\}.$$

Let us state the main result of this article:

Theorem 1. *Suppose that $\Gamma_0 \subset \partial\Omega$, $T > 0$ and $x_0 \notin \bar{\Omega}$ satisfy the geometric and time conditions (1.2) and (1.3). Let $\omega \subset \Omega$ such that $\bar{\Gamma}_0 \subset \partial\omega \cap \partial\Omega$. Let (u_1, \dots, u_n) and $(\tilde{u}_1, \dots, \tilde{u}_n)$ be the solutions of the system (1.1) associated to the potentials $q_1, \dots, q_n \in L_{\leq m}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and $\tilde{q}_1, \dots, \tilde{q}_n \in L_{\leq m}^{\infty}(\Omega)$, respectively, with $m > 0$. Assume that there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that*

$$\|\tilde{u}_j(0)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \geq c, \quad \forall j = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (1.4)$$

Furthermore, suppose that

$$\begin{cases} u_j, \tilde{u}_j \in H^3(0, T; H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)), & j = 1, \dots, n, \\ u_{n-1}, \tilde{u}_{n-1} \in H^4(0, T; H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)). \end{cases}$$

Then, there exists a constant $C = C(\beta, c, T, \Omega, \omega)$ such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \|q_j - \tilde{q}_j\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq C \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} \|u_j - \tilde{u}_j\|_{H^3(0, T; L^2(\omega))}^2 + C \|u_{n-1} - \tilde{u}_{n-1}\|_{H^4(0, T; L^2(\omega))}^2. \quad (1.5)$$

Remark 1. Let us emphasize that inequality (1.5) establishes the Lipschitz stability of the hyperbolic system (1.1) with incomplete measurements in the sense that u_n is missing. Moreover, notice that the estimate (1.5) does not depend on the observations of the gradients.

Remark 2. Theorem 1 is also valid if we suppose that the coupling coefficients a_j are not constants satisfying

$$a_j(x) \geq c > 0, \quad \text{in } \omega',$$

where $\omega' \subset \Omega$ such that $\overline{\Gamma_0} \subset \partial\omega'$ and $\omega' \cap \omega \neq \emptyset$. In other words, the inequality (1.5) holds if the coupled and the observations regions of each components meet.

The main tool of the proof of theorem 1 is a Carleman estimate for a hyperbolic system in cascade where we do not have access to the observations associated to the last component. This inequality depends on a suitable Carleman estimate for the scalar wave equation in the spirit of the work of Imanuvilov and Yamamoto [27] (see also [9]).

1.4. Plan of the paper

We conclude this section by giving the outline of the rest of the paper. Section 2 is devoted to the proof of a new Carleman inequality for a system of wave equations in cascade with observations in all their components except the last one. In section 3, we prove theorem 1 by applying the corresponding Carleman estimate proved in the previous section. Finally, we conclude with some comments in section 4.

2. Carleman estimates

2.1. Technical results

The goal of this section is to prove a Carleman estimate for a system of wave equations in cascade. In order to do that, our starting point is a suitable Carleman estimate for the scalar wave equation. But first, we will give a technical

Lemma 1. Let $z \in L^2(-T, T; H_0^1(\Omega))$ be a function such that $\square z + pz \in L^2(\Omega \times (-T, T))$, $\partial_\nu z \in L^2(\partial\Omega \times (-T, T))$ and $z(\pm T) = 0$ in Ω , with $p \in L^\infty(\Omega)$. Let $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $\omega_1, \omega_2 \subset \Omega$ be two open sets such that $\overline{\omega_1} \subset \omega_2$.

(a) If $\tilde{\varphi} \in C^1([-T, T] \times \overline{\Omega})$, then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-T}^T \int_{\omega_1} e^{2s\tilde{\varphi}} |\nabla z|^2 dx dt &\leq C s^{\max\{2, \gamma\}} \int_{-T}^T \int_{\omega_2} e^{2s\tilde{\varphi}} |z|^2 dx dt + C \int_{-T}^T \int_{\omega_2} e^{2s\tilde{\varphi}} |\partial_t z|^2 dx dt \\ &\quad + C s^{-\gamma} \int_{-T}^T \int_{\omega_2} e^{2s\tilde{\varphi}} |\square z + pz|^2 dx dt, \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

for all $s \geq 1$.

(b) If the function $\tilde{\varphi} \in C^1([-T, T]; C^2(\overline{\Omega}))$ satisfies

$$\inf_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} |\nabla \tilde{\varphi}(t)| \geq c_0 > 0, \quad \forall t \in [-T, T],$$

then, there exist two positive constants C and s_0 independent of s such that

$$\begin{aligned} & s^2 \int_{-T}^T \int_{\omega_1} e^{2s\tilde{\varphi}} |z|^2 dxdt + \int_{-T}^T \int_{\omega_1} e^{2s\tilde{\varphi}} |\partial_t z|^2 dxdt \\ & \leq C s^{\max\{0, \gamma-2\}} \int_{-T}^T \int_{\omega_2} e^{2s\tilde{\varphi}} |\nabla z|^2 dxdt + C s^{-\gamma} \int_{-T}^T \int_{\omega_2} e^{2s\tilde{\varphi}} |\square z + pz|^2 dxdt, \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

for all $s \geq s_0$.

Remark 3. The principal significance of part (a) on lemma 1 is that it allows to drop the local term of the gradient. This fact plays an important role in some steps of the proof of the Carleman estimate for the wave system in cascade in section 2.2.

Proof of lemma 1. Let us consider a function $\xi \in C^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\begin{cases} 0 \leq \xi \leq 1, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \xi \equiv 1, & \text{in } \omega_1, \\ \xi \equiv 0, & \text{in } \Omega \setminus \overline{\omega_2}. \end{cases}$$

Additionally, we suppose that ξ has the form $\xi = e^\phi$ in $\omega_2 \setminus \overline{\omega_1}$, for some smooth function ϕ . We have the following identity:

$$\int_{-T}^T \int_{\omega_2} e^{2s\tilde{\varphi}} \xi z \partial_t^2 z dxdt - \int_{-T}^T \int_{\omega_2} e^{2s\tilde{\varphi}} \xi z \Delta z dxdt + \int_{-T}^T \int_{\omega_2} e^{2s\tilde{\varphi}} \xi p |z|^2 dxdt = \int_{-T}^T \int_{\omega_2} e^{2s\tilde{\varphi}} \xi z (\square z + pz) dxdt. \quad (2.3)$$

Integration by parts yields

$$\int_{-T}^T \int_{\omega_2} e^{2s\tilde{\varphi}} \xi z \partial_t^2 z dxdt = -2s \int_{-T}^T \int_{\omega_2} e^{2s\tilde{\varphi}} \partial_t \tilde{\varphi} \xi z \partial_t z dxdt - \int_{-T}^T \int_{\omega_2} e^{2s\tilde{\varphi}} \xi |\partial_t z|^2 dxdt, \quad (2.4)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_{-T}^T \int_{\omega_2} e^{2s\tilde{\varphi}} \xi z \Delta z dxdt = 2s \int_{-T}^T \int_{\omega_2} e^{2s\tilde{\varphi}} \xi z \nabla \tilde{\varphi} \cdot \nabla z dxdt \\ & + \int_{-T}^T \int_{\omega_2} e^{2s\tilde{\varphi}} z \nabla \xi \cdot \nabla z dxdt + \int_{-T}^T \int_{\omega_2} e^{2s\tilde{\varphi}} \xi |\nabla z|^2 dxdt. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Substituting (2.4) and (2.5) into (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-T}^T \int_{\omega_2} e^{2s\tilde{\varphi}} \xi |\nabla z|^2 dxdt \\ & = 2s \int_{-T}^T \int_{\omega_2} e^{2s\tilde{\varphi}} \xi \partial_t \tilde{\varphi} z \partial_t z dxdt + \int_{-T}^T \int_{\omega_2} e^{2s\tilde{\varphi}} \xi |\partial_t z|^2 dxdt + \int_{-T}^T \int_{\omega_2} e^{2s\tilde{\varphi}} \xi p |z|^2 dxdt \\ & + \int_{-T}^T \int_{\omega_2} e^{2s\tilde{\varphi}} \xi z (\square z + pz) dxdt - 2s \int_{-T}^T \int_{\omega_2} e^{2s\tilde{\varphi}} \xi z \nabla \tilde{\varphi} \cdot \nabla z dxdt - \int_{-T}^T \int_{\omega_2} e^{2s\tilde{\varphi}} z \nabla \xi \cdot \nabla z dxdt \\ & = J_1 + J_2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

Here, J_1 is the sum of the first four terms of (2.6) and J_2 is the sum of the fifth and sixth terms of the same equation. Straightforward computations show that

$$|J_1| \leq 2\|\xi\|_{L^\infty(\omega_2)} \int_{-T}^T \int_{\omega_2} e^{2s\tilde{\varphi}} |\partial_t z|^2 dx dt + \frac{1}{3}s^{-\gamma} \int_{-T}^T \int_{\omega_2} e^{2s\tilde{\varphi}} |\square z + pz|^2 dx dt + \left(\|\partial_t \tilde{\varphi}\|_{L^\infty(\omega_2 \times (-T, T))}^2 s^2 + \frac{3}{4}\|\xi\|_{L^\infty(\omega_2)} s^\gamma + \|p\|_{L^\infty(\omega_2)} \right) \|\xi\|_{L^\infty(\omega_2)} \int_{-T}^T \int_{\omega_2} e^{2s\tilde{\varphi}} |z|^2 dx dt, \tag{2.7}$$

and

$$|J_2| \leq \left(3\|\nabla \tilde{\varphi}\|_{L^\infty(\omega_2 \times (-T, T))}^2 s^2 + \frac{3}{4}\|\nabla \phi\|_{L^\infty(\omega_2 \setminus \bar{\omega}_1)} \right) \|\xi\|_{L^\infty(\omega_2)} \int_{-T}^T \int_{\omega_2} e^{2s\tilde{\varphi}} |z|^2 dx dt + \frac{2}{3} \int_{-T}^T \int_{\omega_2} e^{2s\tilde{\varphi}} \xi |\nabla z|^2 dx dt. \tag{2.8}$$

Combining (2.7), (2.8) with (2.6) we obtain (2.1), which completes the part (a) of lemma 1. The rest of the proof runs as before but additionally we have to estimate the local term $|z|^2$ by using the weighted Poincaré inequality (see [8], lemma 2.4). \square

Now, we introduce the classical Carleman weights for the scalar wave equation. Suppose that Γ_0, x_0 and $T > 0$ satisfy the Geometric and Time condition (1.2) and (1.3). Let $\beta \in (0, T)$. For $(x, t) \in \Omega \times (-T, T)$, we define the following functions:

$$\psi(x, t) = |x - x_0|^2 - \beta t^2 + C_0, \quad \varphi(x, t) = e^{\lambda\psi(x, t)}, \tag{2.9}$$

where $\lambda > 0$ and $C_0 > 0$ is chosen such that $\psi \geq 0$ (and therefore $\varphi \geq 1$) in $\Omega \times (-T, T)$.

For brevity, we shall use the following notation

$$I(\alpha, v, \Omega) = s^\alpha \int_{\Omega} e^{2s\varphi(0)} (s^2|v(0)|^2 + |\partial_t v(0)|^2 + |\nabla v(0)|^2) dx + s^{\alpha+1} \int_{-T}^T \int_{\Omega} e^{2s\varphi} (s^2|v|^2 + |\partial_t v|^2 + |\nabla v|^2) dx dt.$$

In the remainder of this section, C denotes a generic positive constant which depends at least on Γ_0, T and x_0 and may change from line to line.

Proposition 1. *Assume that Γ_0, T and x_0 satisfy the Geometric condition and Time condition (1.2) and (1.3) and let $p \in L^\infty_m(\Omega)$ with $m > 0$. Let us consider the Carleman weight functions defined in (2.9). Let $\omega_0 \subset \Omega$ be an open subset such that $\bar{\omega}_0 \subset \partial\omega_0 \cap \partial\Omega$. Then, there exist two positive constants $C_1 = C_1(\Gamma_0, T, x_0, \omega_2)$ and $s_0 = s_0(\Gamma_0, T, x_0, \omega_2)$ independent of s such that for all $s \geq s_0$, we have*

$$I(0, v, \Omega) \leq C_1 \int_{-T}^T \int_{\Omega} e^{2s\varphi} |\square v + pv|^2 dx dt + C_1 s \int_{-T}^T \int_{\omega_0} e^{2s\varphi} (s^2|v|^2 + |\partial_t v|^2) dx dt, \tag{2.10}$$

for all $v \in L^2(-T, T; H_0^1(\Omega))$ such that $\square v + pv \in L^2(\Omega \times (-T, T))$, $\partial_\nu v \in L^2(\partial\Omega \times (-T, T))$ and $v(\pm T) = \partial_t v(\pm T) = 0$ in Ω .

Remark 4. In contrast to the theorem 2.5 in [8] in the case of the Carleman estimate of the scalar wave equation with a single boundary observation, we emphasize that proposition 1 requires the assumptions $z(\pm T) = \partial_t z(\pm T) = 0$ in Ω . This point becomes important if we want to eliminate more components in the inequality (1.5) of theorem 1.

Let us point out that the proof of the proposition 1 is straightforward and many of the ingredients of the proof are already available in the literature (see for instance [9, 27]). Nevertheless, for our purposes, it is convenient to write the Carleman estimate for wave equation under the form of proposition 1. For the sake of completeness, we will give the proof of this result.

Proof of proposition 1. For $s \geq 1$, let us define

$$E_s(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} e^{2s\varphi(t)} (|\partial_t v(t)|^2 + |\nabla v(t)|^2) \, dx, \quad \forall t \in (-T, T).$$

Differentiation with respect to t and integration by parts in space yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dE_s}{dt}(t) &= s \int_{\Omega} e^{2s\varphi(t)} \partial_t \varphi(t) (|\partial_t v(t)|^2 + |\nabla v(t)|^2) \, dx + \int_{\Omega} e^{2s\varphi(t)} \partial_t v(t) \square v(t) \, dx \\ &\quad - 2s \int_{\Omega} e^{2s\varphi(t)} \partial_t v(t) \nabla v(t) \cdot \nabla \varphi(t) \, dx, \quad \forall t \in (-T, T). \end{aligned}$$

After integration on $(-T, 0)$ in time we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E_s(0) &= s \int_{-T}^0 \int_{\Omega} e^{2s\varphi} \partial_t \varphi (|\partial_t v|^2 + |\nabla v|^2) \, dx dt + \int_{-T}^0 \int_{\Omega} e^{2s\varphi} \partial_t v \square v \, dx dt \\ &\quad - 2s \int_{-T}^0 \int_{\Omega} e^{2s\varphi} \partial_t v \nabla v \cdot \nabla \varphi \, dx dt, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used $v(-T) = \partial_t v(-T) = 0$ in Ω . Applying Young’s inequality and the weighted Poincaré inequality to v (see [8], Lemma 2.4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Omega} e^{2s\varphi(0)} (s^2 |v(0)|^2 + |\partial_t v(0)|^2 + |\nabla v(0)|^2) \, dx \\ &\leq C s \int_{-T}^T \int_{\Omega} e^{2s\varphi} (s^2 |v|^2 + |\partial_t v|^2 + |\nabla v|^2) \, dx dt + C \int_{-T}^T \int_{\Omega} e^{2s\varphi} |\square v + pv|^2 \, dx dt, \quad \forall s \geq s_0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

On the other hand, let us recall the classical Carleman estimate for the wave equation with $\lambda = \lambda_0$ fixed applied to v :

$$s \int_{-T}^T \int_{\Omega} e^{2s\varphi} (s^2 |v|^2 + |\partial_t v|^2 + |\nabla v|^2) \, dx dt \leq C \int_{-T}^T \int_{\Omega} e^{2s\varphi} |\square v + pv|^2 \, dx dt + C s \int_{-T}^T \int_{\Gamma_0} e^{s\varphi} |\partial_\nu v|^2 \, d\sigma dt. \tag{2.12}$$

Let us consider an open subset $\omega'_0 \subset \omega_0$ such that $\overline{\omega'_0} \subset \omega$ and $\partial\omega'_0 \cap \partial\Omega \subset \partial\omega_0 \cap \partial\Omega$. Consider the function $\eta \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbb{R})$ satisfying

$$\begin{cases} 0 \leq \eta \leq 1, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \eta \equiv 1, & \text{in } \Omega \setminus \overline{\omega'_0}, \\ \eta = \partial_\nu \eta \equiv 0, & \text{on } \Gamma_0. \end{cases}$$

Replacing v by ηv in (2.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & s \int_{-T}^T \int_{\Omega} e^{2s\varphi} (s^2|v|^2 + |\partial_t v|^2 + |\nabla v|^2) \, dx dt \\
 & \leq C \int_{-T}^T \int_{\Omega} e^{2s\varphi} |\square v + p v|^2 \, dx dt + C \int_{-T}^T \int_{\Omega} e^{2s\varphi} (|v|^2 + |\nabla v|^2) \, dx dt \\
 & \quad + s \int_{-T}^T \int_{\omega'_0} e^{2s\varphi} (s^2|v|^2 + |\partial_t v|^2 + |\nabla v|^2) \, dx dt,
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

where we have used that $\square(\eta v) = \eta \square v - \Delta \eta v - 2 \nabla \eta \cdot \nabla v$ in $\Omega \times (-T, T)$ and $\nabla \eta \equiv 0$ in $\Omega \setminus \overline{\omega_1}$. Notice that the second term of the right-hand side of (2.13) can be absorbed taking s large enough. Finally, combining the previous estimate obtained with (2.11) and applying the estimate (2.1) with $\tilde{\varphi} = \varphi$, $\omega_1 = \omega'_0$, $\omega_2 = \omega_0$ and $\gamma = 1$, the proof of (2.10) is complete. \square

2.2. A new Carleman estimate for a hyperbolic system

The aim of this section is to prove a Carleman estimate for a wave-type system with potentials. In order to formulate our result, let us consider the following system:

$$\begin{cases}
 \square v_1 + r_1 v_1 = v_2 + h_1, & \text{in } \Omega \times (-T, T), \\
 \square v_2 + r_2 v_2 = v_3 + h_2, & \text{in } \Omega \times (-T, T), \\
 \vdots & \vdots \\
 \square v_{n-1} + r_{n-1} v_{n-1} = v_n + h_{n-1}, & \text{in } \Omega \times (-T, T), \\
 \square v_n + r_n v_n = h_n, & \text{in } \Omega \times (-T, T), \\
 \partial_t^k v_j(\pm T) = 0, \, k = 0, 1, \, j = 1, \dots, n, & \text{in } \Omega, \\
 v_j = 0, \, j = 1, \dots, n, & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (-T, T).
 \end{cases} \tag{2.14}$$

Here, $r_j \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ are the potentials and $h_j \in L^2(\Omega \times (-T, T))$ are the source terms, for each $j = 1, \dots, n$.

Now, we are in position to state the Carleman estimate for system (2.14), which is one of the main results of this article:

Theorem 2. *Let us consider the Carleman weights defined in (2.9), where $\Gamma_0 \subset \partial\Omega$, $T > 0$ and $x_0 \notin \overline{\Omega}$ satisfy the geometric and time conditions (1.2) and (1.3). For $m > 0$, suppose that $r_j \in L^\infty_m(\Omega)$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, and let $\omega \subset \Omega$ be an open set such that $\overline{\Gamma_0} \subset \partial\omega \cap \partial\Omega$. In addition, consider $h_j \in L^2(\Omega \times (-T, T))$ for each $j = 1, \dots, n - 2$ and $h_{n-1}, h_n \in H^1(-T, T; L^2(\Omega))$ such that*

$$\begin{cases}
 v_j \in H^1(-T, T; H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega)), \, j = 1, \dots, n, \\
 v_{n-1} \in H^2(-T, T; H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega)).
 \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, we choose $1 < \alpha < 2$. Then, there exist two constants $C_2 = C_2(\Gamma_0, \Omega, \omega, T, x_0) > 0$ and $s_0 = s_0(\Gamma_0, \Omega, \omega, T, x_0)$ independent of s such that for all $s \geq s_0$, the solution (v_1, \dots, v_n) of system (2.14) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} I(\alpha, v_j, \Omega) + I(0, v_n, \Omega) \\
& \leq C_2 s^{\alpha+1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} \int_{-T}^T \int_{\omega} e^{2s\varphi} (s^2 |v_j|^2 + |\partial_t v_j|^2) \, dx dt + C_2 s^{\alpha} \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} \int_{-T}^T \int_{\Omega} e^{2s\varphi} |h_j|^2 \, dx dt \\
& \quad + C_2 s^3 \int_{-T}^T \int_{\omega} e^{2s\varphi} (s^5 |v_{n-1}|^2 + s^3 |\partial_t v_{n-1}|^2 + |\partial_t^2 v_{n-1}|^2) \, dx dt \\
& \quad + C_2 \int_{-T}^T \int_{\Omega} e^{2s\varphi} (s^3 |h_{n-1}|^2 + |h_n|^2 + s |\partial_t h_{n-1}|^2 + |\partial_t h_n|^2) \, dx dt. \tag{2.15}
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 5. We emphasize that the Carleman estimate (2.15) depends only on h_n and $\partial_t h_n$ in the last component.

Proof of theorem 2. Let ω_1 and ω_2 be two subsets of ω be two open sets such that $\overline{\omega_0} \subset \partial\omega_j \cap \partial\Omega$ for each $j = 1, 2$ and $\overline{\omega_1} \subset \omega_2$ and $\overline{\omega_2} \subset \omega$.

We start applying the Carleman inequality of proposition 1 to v_1, \dots, v_n in system (2.14) with $\omega_0 = \omega_1$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} I(\alpha, v_j, \Omega) + I(0, v_n, \Omega) \\
& \leq C s^{\alpha} \sum_{j=2}^n \int_{-T}^T \int_{\Omega} e^{2s\varphi} |v_j|^2 \, dx dt + C s^{\alpha} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \int_{-T}^T \int_{\Omega} e^{2s\varphi} |h_j|^2 \, dx dt + C \int_{-T}^T \int_{\Omega} e^{2s\varphi} |h_n|^2 \, dx dt \\
& \quad + C s^{\alpha+1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \int_{-T}^T \int_{\omega_1} e^{2s\varphi} (s^2 |v_j|^2 + |\partial_t v_j|^2) \, dx dt + C s \int_{-T}^T \int_{\omega_1} e^{2s\varphi} (s^2 |v_n|^2 + |\partial_t v_n|^2) \, dx dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that the first term of the right-hand side of the inequality above can be absorbed by taking s large enough since $1 < \alpha < 2$. Therefore, we can rewrite this inequality as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} I(\alpha, v_j, \Omega) + I(0, v_n, \Omega) \\
& \leq C s^{\alpha} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \int_{-T}^T \int_{\Omega} e^{2s\varphi} |h_j|^2 \, dx dt + C \int_{-T}^T \int_{\Omega} e^{2s\varphi} |h_n|^2 \, dx dt \\
& \quad + C s^{\alpha+1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \int_{-T}^T \int_{\omega_1} e^{2s\varphi} (s^2 |v_j|^2 + |\partial_t v_j|^2) \, dx dt + C s \int_{-T}^T \int_{\omega_1} e^{2s\varphi} (s^2 |v_n|^2 + |\partial_t v_n|^2) \, dx dt. \tag{2.16}
\end{aligned}$$

Now we are going to estimate the local term of v_n and $\partial_t v_n$ in (2.16). To do this, we consider a cut-off function $\xi \in C^{\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\begin{cases} 0 \leq \xi \leq 1 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \xi \equiv 1 & \text{in } \omega_1, \\ \xi \equiv 0 & \text{in } \Omega \setminus \overline{\omega_2}. \end{cases}$$

Using the equation of v_{n-1} in (2.14), we see that:

$$\begin{aligned} s^3 \int_{-T}^T \int_{\omega_2} e^{2s\varphi} \xi |v_n|^2 dx dt &= s^3 \int_{-T}^T \int_{\omega_2} e^{2s\varphi} \xi v_n (\square v_{n-1} + r_{n-1} v_{n-1}) dx dt \\ &\quad - s^3 \int_{-T}^T \int_{\omega_2} e^{2s\varphi} \xi v_n h_{n-1} dx dt. \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

Let us estimate each term of the equation above. First, by Young's inequality for every $\delta > 0$, there exists a constant $C = C(\delta)$ such that

$$s^3 \int_{-T}^T \int_{\omega_2} e^{2s\varphi} \xi h_{n-1} v_n dx dt \leq \delta s^3 \int_{-T}^T \int_{\omega_2} e^{2s\varphi} |v_n|^2 dx dt + C s^3 \int_{-T}^T \int_{\omega_2} e^{2s\varphi} |h_{n-1}|^2 dx dt. \quad (2.18)$$

On the other hand, integration by parts yields

$$\begin{aligned} &s^2 \int_{-T}^T \int_{\omega_2} e^{2s\varphi} \xi \partial_t^2 v_{n-1} v_n dx dt \\ &= s^3 \int_{-T}^T \int_{\omega_2} e^{2s\varphi} \xi (4s^2 |\partial_t \varphi|^2 + 2s \partial_t^2 \varphi) v_{n-1} v_n dx dt + 4s^4 \int_{-T}^T \int_{\omega_2} e^{2s\varphi} \xi \partial_t \varphi v_{n-1} \partial_t v_n dx dt \\ &\quad + s^3 \int_{-T}^T \int_{\omega_2} e^{2s\varphi} \xi v_{n-1} \partial_t^2 v_n dx dt, \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &-s^3 \int_{-T}^T \int_{\omega_2} e^{2s\varphi} \xi \Delta v_{n-1} v_n dx dt \\ &= 2s^4 \int_{-T}^T \int_{\omega_2} e^{2s\varphi} \xi \nabla \varphi (v_n \nabla v_{n-1} - v_{n-1} \nabla v_n) dx dt + s^3 \int_{-T}^T \int_{\omega_2} e^{2s\varphi} \nabla \xi (v_n \nabla v_{n-1} - v_{n-1} \nabla v_n) dx dt \\ &\quad - s^3 \int_{-T}^T \int_{\omega_2} e^{2s\varphi} \xi v_{n-1} \Delta v_n dx dt. \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

By (2.19) and (2.20) $\square v_n + r_n v_n = h_n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &s^3 \int_{-T}^T \int_{\omega_2} e^{2s\varphi} \xi v_n (\square v_{n-1} + r_{n-1} v_{n-1}) dx dt \\ &\leq C \int_{-T}^T \int_{\omega_2} e^{2s\varphi} (s^3 |h_{n-1}|^2 + |h_n|^2) dx dt \\ &\quad + C s^5 \int_{-T}^T \int_{\omega_2} e^{2s\varphi} \xi (s^2 |v_{n-1}|^2 + |\partial_t v_{n-1}|^2 + |\nabla v_{n-1}|^2) dx dt + \delta I(0, v_n, \omega_2), \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

for every $\delta > 0$, where we have used the Young inequality. Moreover, by part (a) of lemma 1 applied to v_{n-1} , ω_2 and ω with $\gamma = 3$ one has

$$\begin{aligned}
s^5 \int_{-T}^T \int_{\omega_2} e^{2s\varphi} |\nabla v_{n-1}|^2 dx dt &\leq C s^2 \int_{-T}^T \int_{\omega} e^{2s\varphi} (|v_n|^2 + |h_{n-1}|^2) dx dt \\
&+ C s^5 \int_{-T}^T \int_{\omega} e^{2s\varphi} (s^3 |v_{n-1}|^2 + |\partial_t v_{n-1}|^2) dx dt.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

Substituting (2.22) into (2.21) and substituting the obtained estimate into (2.18), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
&s^3 \int_{-T}^T \int_{\omega_1} e^{2s\varphi} |v_n|^2 dx dt \\
&\leq C \int_{-T}^T \int_{\omega} e^{2s\varphi} (s^3 |h_{n-1}|^2 + |h_n|^2) dx dt \\
&+ C s^8 \int_{-T}^T \int_{\omega} e^{2s\varphi} |v_{n-1}|^2 dx dt + C s^5 \int_{-T}^T \int_{\omega} e^{2s\varphi} |\partial_t v_{n-1}|^2 dx dt + \delta I(0, v_n, \omega),
\end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

for every $\delta > 0$, where we have included the integral term of $|v_n|^2$ which has in front s^2 in $\delta I(0, v_n, \omega)$ by taking s large enough. In the same manner, we can estimate the local term of $\partial_t v_n$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
s \int_{-T}^T \int_{\omega_1} e^{2s\varphi} |\partial_t v_n|^2 dx dt &\leq C \int_{-T}^T \int_{\Omega} e^{2s\varphi} (s |\partial_t h_{n-1}|^2 + |\partial_t h_n|^2) dx dt \\
&+ C s^3 \int_{-T}^T \int_{\omega_2} e^{2s\varphi} (s^3 |\partial_t v_{n-1}|^2 + |\partial_t^2 v_{n-1}|^2) dx dt + \delta I(0, v_n, \omega).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

Finally, by substituting (2.23) and (2.24) into (2.16), by taking the Carleman parameter $s \geq 1$ large enough and by choosing $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} I(\alpha, v_j, \Omega) + I(0, v_n, \Omega) \\
&\leq C s^\alpha \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} \int_{-T}^T \int_{\Omega} e^{2s\varphi} |h_j|^2 dx dt + C \int_{-T}^T \int_{\Omega} e^{2s\varphi} (s^3 |h_{n-1}|^2 + |h_n|^2) dx dt \\
&+ C \int_{-T}^T \int_{\Omega} e^{2s\varphi} (s |\partial_t h_{n-1}|^2 + |\partial_t h_n|^2) dx dt \\
&+ C s^{\alpha+1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} \int_{-T}^T \int_{\omega} e^{2s\varphi} (s^2 |v_j|^2 + |\partial_t v_j|^2) dx dt \\
&+ C s^3 \int_{-T}^T \int_{\omega} e^{2s\varphi} (s^5 |v_{n-1}|^2 + s^3 |\partial_t v_{n-1}|^2 + |\partial_t^2 v_{n-1}|^2) dx dt,
\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of theorem 2. \square

3. Proof of theorem 1

The plan of the proof of theorem 1 contains three parts:

- Step 1 In the same spirit of the Bukhgeim–Klibanov method, we rewrite appropriately system (1.1) to apply the estimate (2.15) in theorem 2.
- Step 2 After applying the Carleman estimate of theorem 2 to the new system, we estimate the residual and source terms.
- Step 3 We conclude the proof gathering the estimates of the previous steps and eliminating the small order terms.

• **Step 1: Setting**

For each $j = 1, \dots, n$, let us denote by $y_j = u_j - \tilde{u}_j$, $p_j = q_j$, $f_j = q_j - \tilde{q}_j$ and $R_j = \tilde{u}_j$. Then, following this notation, y_1, \dots, y_n solves:

$$\begin{cases} \square y_1 + p_1 y_1 = y_2 + f_1 R_1, & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ \square y_2 + p_2 y_2 = y_3 + f_2 R_2, & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \square y_{n-1} + p_{n-1} y_{n-1} = y_n + f_{n-1} R_{n-1}, & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ \square y_n + p_n y_n = f_n R_n, & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ \partial_t^k y_j(0) = 0, \quad k = 0, 1, \quad j = 1, \dots, n, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ y_j = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, n, & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, T). \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

For each $j = 1, \dots, n$, we set $w_j = \partial_t^2 y_j$. Then, the new variables solve the following system:

$$\begin{cases} \square w_1 + p_1 w_1 = w_2 + f_1 \partial_t^2 R_1, & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ \square w_2 + p_2 w_2 = w_3 + f_2 \partial_t^2 R_2, & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \square w_{n-1} + p_{n-1} w_{n-1} = w_n + f_{n-1} \partial_t^2 R_{n-1}, & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ \square w_n + p_n w_n = f_n \partial_t^2 R_n, & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ \partial_t^k w_j(0) = f_j \partial_t^k R_j(0), \quad k = 0, 1, \quad j = 1, \dots, n, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ w_j = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, n, & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, T). \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

Now, we want to apply theorem 2 to a suitable system. In order to do that, we extend system (3.2) in an even way, setting $w_j(x, t) = w_j(x, -t)$ for all $(x, t) \in \Omega \times (-T, 0)$. We also extend the functions R_j , $\partial_t R_j$ and $\partial_t^2 R_j$ in an even way and keep the same notations for the new system.

To be able to apply the Carleman estimate (2.15), the functions w_j must satisfy $\partial_t^k w(\pm T) = 0$ in Ω , for $k = 0, 1$. However, this condition does not hold. To avoid this difficulty, we consider a cut-off function $\theta \in C_c^\infty((-T, T), \mathbb{R})$ defined as follows:

$$\begin{cases} 0 \leq \theta \leq 1, & \text{in } (-T, T), \\ \theta \equiv 1, & \text{in } (-T + \tau, T - \tau). \end{cases}$$

According to the definition of θ , it is clear that $z_j = \theta w_j$, for $j = 1, \dots, n$, solves

$$\begin{cases} \square z_1 + p_1 z_1 = z_2 + F_1, & \text{in } \Omega \times (-T, T), \\ \square z_2 + p_2 z_2 = z_3 + F_2, & \text{in } \Omega \times (-T, T), \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \square z_{n-1} + p_{n-1} z_{n-1} = z_n + F_{n-1}, & \text{in } \Omega \times (-T, T), \\ \square z_n + p_n z_n = F_n, & \text{in } \Omega \times (-T, T), \\ \partial_t^k z(0) = f_j \partial_t^k R(0), \quad k = 0, 1, \quad j = 1, \dots, n, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \partial_t^k z(\pm T) = 0, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \quad j = 1, \dots, n, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ z_j = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, n, & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (-T, T). \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

Here, the functions F_j are defined by

$$F_j = \theta f_j \partial_t^2 R_j + \partial_t^2 \theta w_j + 2\partial_t \theta \partial_t w_j, \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (-T, T),$$

for each $j = 1, \dots, n$.

• **Step 2: Applying Carleman estimate for hyperbolic systems**

In this step, we denote by C a generic positive constant which depends at least of Γ_0, m, T, ω and x_0 and may change from line to line.

Applying the Carleman estimate of theorem 2 to the system (3.3) with $v_j = z_j, r_j = p_j$ and $h_j = F_j$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} I(\alpha, z_j, \Omega) + I(0, z_n, \Omega) \\ & \leq C s \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} \int_{-T}^T \int_{\Omega} e^{2s\varphi} |F_j|^2 dx dt + C \int_{-T}^T \int_{\Omega} e^{2s\varphi} (s^3 |F_{n-1}|^2 + |F_n|^2) dx dt \\ & \quad + C \int_{-T}^T \int_{\Omega} e^{2s\varphi} (s |\partial_t F_{n-1}|^2 + |\partial_t F_n|^2) dx dt \\ & \quad + C s^{\alpha+1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} \int_{-T}^T \int_{\omega_2} e^{2s\varphi} (s^2 |z_j|^2 + |\partial_t z_j|^2) dx dt \\ & \quad + C s^3 \int_{-T}^T \int_{\omega_2} e^{2s\varphi} (s^5 |z_{n-1}|^2 + s^3 |\partial_t z_{n-1}|^2 + |\partial_t^2 z_{n-1}|^2) dx dt. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Note that the assumption (1.4) implies

$$c < |R_j(0)|^2, \quad \forall j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Then, the following estimate holds:

$$c \int_{\Omega} e^{2s\varphi(0)} |f_j|^2 dx \leq \int_{\Omega} e^{2s\varphi(0)} |f_j R_j(0)|^2 dx = \int_{\Omega} e^{2s\varphi(0)} |z_j(0)|^2 dx. \quad (3.5)$$

Hence, summing (3.5) over j , we deduce that

$$\frac{1}{c} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} I(\alpha, z_j) + \frac{1}{c} I(0, z_n) \geq s^{\alpha+2} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \int_{\Omega} e^{2s\varphi(0)} |f_j|^2 dx + s^2 \int_{\Omega} e^{2s\varphi(0)} |f_n|^2 dx.$$

Now we estimate the global terms of F_j and its derivatives, for each $j = 1, \dots, n$. By definition,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-T}^T \int_{\Omega} e^{2s\varphi} |F_j|^2 dx dt &\leq 2 \int_{-T}^T \int_{\Omega} e^{2s\varphi} |\theta f_j \partial_t^2 R_j|^2 dx dt + 2 \int_{-T}^T \int_{\Omega} e^{2s\varphi} |2\partial_t \theta \partial_t w_j + \partial_t^2 \theta w_j|^2 dx dt \\ &\leq C \int_{\Omega} e^{2s\varphi(0)} |f_j|^2 dx + 2 \int_{-T}^T \int_{\Omega} e^{2s\varphi} |2\partial_t \theta \partial_t w_j + \partial_t^2 \theta w_j|^2 dx dt. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Now, we focus our attention on estimating the global term of $2\partial_t \theta \partial_t w_j + \partial_t^2 \theta w_j$, for $j = 1, \dots, n$. Notice that if the Time condition (1.3) holds, the Carleman weight ψ defined in (2.9) satisfies

$$\psi(x, \pm T) = |x - x_0|^2 - \beta T^2 + C_0 < C_0, \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

Then, we choose $\tau > 0$ such that

$$\psi(x, t) \leq C_0, \quad \text{in } \Omega \times ([-T, -T + \tau] \cup [T - \tau, T]),$$

and therefore,

$$\varphi(x, t) = e^{\lambda C_0} < e^{\lambda \psi(x, 0)} = \varphi(x, 0), \quad \text{in } \Omega \times ([-T, -T + \tau] \cup [T - \tau, T]).$$

Since the derivatives of θ vanish in $[-T + \tau, T - \tau]$ we see that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{-T}^T \int_{\Omega} e^{2s\varphi} |2\partial_t \theta \partial_t w_j + \partial_t^2 \theta w_j|^2 dx dt \\ &\leq C \left(\int_{-T}^{-T+\tau} + \int_{T-\tau}^T \right) e^{2se^{\lambda C_0}} \int_{\Omega} (|\partial_t w_j|^2 + |w_j|^2) dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we will estimate the last term of the above inequality. To do this, we will use the following energy estimates of (3.2):

$$\int_{\Omega} |\partial_t w_j(t)|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w_j(t)|^2 dx \leq C \int_{\Omega} |f_j(t)|^2 dx + C \int_{\Omega} |w_{j+1}(t)|^2 dx, \quad \forall t \in (-T, T),$$

for each $j = 1, \dots, n$ and

$$\int_{\Omega} |\partial_t w_n(t)|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w_n(t)|^2 dx \leq C \int_{\Omega} |f_n|^2 dx, \quad \forall t \in (-T, T),$$

where we have used that $R_j \in H^2(-T, T; L^\infty(\Omega))$ for each $j = 1, \dots, n$. Integrating on $(-T, -T + \tau) \cup (T - \tau, T)$ the estimate above and using the Poincaré inequality to w_j , we see that:

$$\left(\int_{-T}^{-T+\tau} + \int_{T-\tau}^T \right) e^{2se^{\lambda C_0}} \int_{\Omega} (|\partial_t w_j|^2 + |w_j|^2) dx dt \leq \int_{\Omega} e^{2s\varphi(0)} |f_j|^2 dx + C e^{se^{\lambda C_0}} \int_{-T}^T \int_{\Omega} |w_{j+1}|^2 dx dt,$$

for each $j = 1, \dots, n - 1$. Furthermore, due to the structure in cascade of system (3.3), we obtain

$$e^{se^{\lambda C_0}} \int_{-T}^T \int_{\Omega} e^{2s\varphi} |w_{j+1}|^2 dx dt \leq C e^{se^{\lambda C_0}} \sum_{i=j+1}^n \int_{\Omega} |f_i|^2 dx \leq C \sum_{i=j+1}^n \int_{\Omega} e^{2s\varphi(0)} |f_i|^2 dx,$$

for each $j = 2, \dots, n - 1$. Therefore, for every $j = 1, \dots, n$, we deduce that

$$\int_{-T}^T \int_{\Omega} e^{2s\varphi} |2\partial_t \theta \partial_t w_j + \partial_t^2 \theta w_j|^2 dx dt \leq C \sum_{i=j}^n \int_{\Omega} e^{2s\varphi(0)} |f_i|^2 dx. \quad (3.7)$$

Substituting (3.7) in (3.6), we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & s^\alpha \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} \int_{-T}^T \int_{\Omega} e^{2s\varphi} |F_j|^2 dxdt + \int_{-T}^T \int_{\Omega} e^{2s\varphi} (s^3 |F_{n-1}|^2 + |F_n|^2) dxdt \\
 & \leq C s^\alpha \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} \int_{\Omega} e^{2s\varphi(0)} |f_j|^2 dx + C \int_{\Omega} e^{2s\varphi(0)} (s^3 |f_{n-1}|^2 + |f_n|^2) dx. \tag{3.8}
 \end{aligned}$$

In the same manner we can see that

$$\int_{-T}^T \int_{\Omega} e^{2s\varphi} (s |\partial_t F_{n-1}|^2 + |\partial_t F_n|^2) dxdt \leq C \int_{-T}^T \int_{\Omega} e^{2s\varphi} (s |f_{n-1}|^2 + |f_n|^2) dxdt. \tag{3.9}$$

Thus, substituting (3.8) and (3.9) into (3.4), and taking s large enough, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & s^{\alpha+2} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \int_{\Omega} e^{2s\varphi(0)} |f_j|^2 dx + s^2 \int_{\Omega} |f_n|^2 dx \\
 & \leq C s^{\alpha+1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} \int_{-T}^T \int_{\omega_2} e^{2s\varphi} (s^2 |z_j|^2 + |\partial_t z_j|^2) dxdt \\
 & \quad + C s^3 \int_{-T}^T \int_{\omega_2} e^{2s\varphi} (s^5 |z_{n-1}|^2 + s^3 |\partial_t z_{n-1}|^2 + |\partial_t^2 z_{n-1}|^2) dxdt. \tag{3.10}
 \end{aligned}$$

• **Step 3: Last arrangements and conclusion**

From (3.10), we fix the parameter s and put it into the constant C :

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\Omega} |f_j|^2 dx & \leq C \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{-T}^T \int_{\omega_\omega} e^{2s\varphi} (|z_j|^2 + |\partial_t z_j|^2) dxdt \\
 & \quad + C \int_{-T}^T \int_{\omega} (|z_{n-1}|^2 + |\partial_t z_{n-1}|^2 + |\partial_t^2 z_{n-1}|^2) dxdt,
 \end{aligned}$$

where we have used that the Carleman weights defined in (2.9) are bounded. Moreover, by definition of each z_j we see that

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \|f_j\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq C \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \|y_j\|_{H^3(-T,T;L^2(\omega))}^2 + \|y_n\|_{H^4(-T,T;L^2(\omega))}^2. \tag{3.11}$$

Finally, replacing $f_j = q_j - \tilde{q}_j$ and $y_j = u_j - \tilde{u}_j$ by (3.11) for each $j = 1, \dots, n$, we conclude the proof of theorem 1.

□

4. Conclusions and further comments

In this article we proved Lipschitz stability result for the reconstruction of the spatially dependent potentials in n coupled hyperbolic system in cascade from $n-1$ components of the solution of the system using suitable global Carleman estimates for a cascade system with missing

observations. Let us conclude with some comments and possible extensions of the present results.

First of all, concerning the special structure of the cascade system we considered in this study, notice that in (3.7), the source terms f_j, \dots, f_n arise in the estimate of F_j , for each $j = 1, \dots, n$, because of the cascade structure of system (1.1) and the Carleman estimate of proposition 1, see also remark 4. This is the main difficulty to recover the potentials (q_1, \dots, q_n) with less components of (1.1). Then, the stability of the inverse problem treated in this article with two or more inaccessible components is open.

Regarding relationships of the present work with controllability, let us notice that in the particular case of $h_j = 0$ for each $j = 1, \dots, n$ in (2.15) and under strong assumptions on the regularity of the solutions of (2.14), one can obtain a Carleman inequality of (2.14) with internal measurements of the first component of the system. To be more precise, for each $j = 1, \dots, n$, we define α_j such that

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_{j+1} + 1 < \alpha_j < \alpha_{j+1} + 2, & j = 1, \dots, n-1, \\ \alpha_n = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then, there exist two constants $C = C(\Omega, \omega, T, x_0) > 0$ and $s_0 \geq 1$ such that for all $s \geq s_0$, the following inequality holds:

$$\sum_{j=1}^n I(\alpha_j, v_j) \leq C \sum_{j=0}^{2^n-1} s^{\beta_j} \int_{-T}^T \int_{\omega_\omega} e^{2s\varphi} |\partial_t^j v_1|^2 dx dt,$$

for each solution of system (2.14) and for some positive constants $\beta_j, j = 0, \dots, 2^n-1$. In principle, this would allow to construct a control that would require stronger regularity assumptions.

Finally, let us remark that a slight change in the proof of proposition 1 shows that

$$I(0, v, \Omega) \leq C_2 \int_{-T}^T \int_{\Omega} e^{2s\varphi} |\square v + pv|^2 dx dt + C_2 s \int_{-T}^T \int_{\omega_2} e^{2s\varphi} |\nabla v|^2 dx dt, \quad (4.1)$$

for all $v \in L^2(-T, T; H_0^1(\Omega))$ such that $\square v + pv \in L^2(\Omega \times (-T, T))$, $\partial_\nu v \in L^2(\partial\Omega \times (-T, T))$ and $v(\pm T) = 0$ in Ω . The main ingredient of the proof are the part b) of lemma 1 and the weighted Poincaré inequality (see [8]). Under that form, estimate (4.1) can be used in the study of wave systems with first order coupling terms.

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to express their gratitude to Sylvain Ervedoza for several helpful comments concerning the general problem and Carleman estimates. N C was supported by FONDECYT 3150089. R M was supported by CONICYT-Doctorado nacional 21150660. A O was partially supported by FONDECYT grant number 1151512.

ORCID iDs

Roberto Morales  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1193-7803>

References

- [1] Alabau-Boussouira F 2003 A two-level energy method for indirect boundary observability and controllability of weakly coupled hyperbolic systems *SIAM J. Control Optim.* **42** 871–906
- [2] Alabau-Boussouira F 2012 Controllability of cascade coupled systems of multi-dimensional evolution PDEs by a reduced number of controls *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci., Paris* **350** 577–82
- [3] Alabau-Boussouira F 2013 A hierarchic multi-level energy method for the control of bidiagonal and mixed n -coupled cascade systems of PDE's by a reduced number of controls *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **18** 1005–72
- [4] Alabau-Boussouira F 2014 Insensitizing exact controls for the scalar wave equation and exact controllability of 2-coupled cascade systems of PDE's by a single control *Math. Control Signals Syst.* **26** 1–46
- [5] Alabau-Boussouira F and Léautaud M 2013 Indirect controllability of locally coupled wave-type systems and applications *J. Math. Pures Appl.* **99** 544–76
- [6] Alabau-Boussouira F, Cannarsa P and Yamamoto M 2016 Source reconstruction by partial measurements for a class of hyperbolic systems in cascade *Mathematical Paradigms of Climate Science (Springer INdAM Series vol 15)* pp 35–50 (Berlin: Springer)
- [7] Ammar-Khodja F, Benabdallah A, González-Burgos M and de Teresa L 2011 Recent results on the controllability of linear coupled parabolic problems: a survey *Math. Control Relat. Fields* **1** 267–306
- [8] Baudouin L, De Buhan M and Ervedoza S 2013 Global Carleman estimates for waves and applications *Commun. PDE* **38** 823–59
- [9] Beilina L, Cristofol M, Li S and Yamamoto M 2017 Lipschitz stability for an inverse hyperbolic problem of determining two coefficients by a finite number of observations *Inverse Problems* **34** 015001
- [10] Bellassoued M 2004 Global logarithmic stability in inverse hyperbolic problem by arbitrary boundary observation *Inverse Problems* **20** 1033–52
- [11] Bellassoued M and Yamamoto M 2006 Lipschitz stability for a hyperbolic inverse problem by finite local boundary data *Appl. Anal.* **85** 1219–43
- [12] Bellassoued M and Yamamoto M 2006 Logarithmic stability in determination of a coefficient in an acoustic equation by arbitrary boundary observation *J. Math. Pures Appl.* **85** 193–224
- [13] Bellassoued M and Yamamoto M 2008 Determination of a coefficient in the wave equation with a single measurement *Appl. Anal.* **87** 901–20
- [14] Benabdallah A, Cristofol M, Gaitan P and de Teresa L 2010 A new Carleman inequality for parabolic systems with a single observation and applications *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci., Paris* **348** 25–9
- [15] Benabdallah A, Cristofol M, Gaitan P and Yamamoto M 2009 Inverse problem for a parabolic system with two components by measurements of one component *Appl. Anal.* **88** 683–709
- [16] Bodart O and Fabre C 1995 Controls insensitizing the norm of the solution of a semilinear heat equation *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **195** 658–83
- [17] Carreño N, Guerrero S and Gueye M 2015 Insensitizing controls with two vanishing components for the three-dimensional Boussinesq system *ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var.* **21** 73–100
- [18] Cristofol M, Gaitan P and Ramoul H 2006 Inverse problems for a 2×2 reaction-diffusion system using a Carleman estimate with one observation *Inverse Problems* **22** 1561–73
- [19] Cristofol M, Gaitan P, Niinimäki K and Poisson O 2013 Inverse problem for a coupled parabolic system with discontinuous conductivities: one-dimensional case *Inverse Probl. Imaging* **7** 159–82
- [20] Cristofol M, Gaitan P, Ramoul H and Yamamoto M 2012 Identification of two coefficients with data of one component for a nonlinear parabolic system *Appl. Anal.* **91** 2073–81
- [21] Dáger R 2006 Insensitizing controls for the 1D wave equation *SIAM J. Control Optim.* **45** 1758–68
- [22] de Teresa L 2000 Insensitizing controls for a semilinear heat equation *Commun. PDE* **25** 39–72
- [23] Fernández-Cara E, González-Burgos M and de Teresa L 2015 Controllability of linear and semilinear non-diagonalizable parabolic systems *ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var.* **21** 1178–204
- [24] Gaitan P and Ouzzane H 2017 Stability result for two coefficients in a coupled hyperbolic-parabolic system *J. Inverse Ill-Posed Probl.* **25** 265–86
- [25] García G C, Montoya C and Osses A 2017 A source reconstruction algorithm for the stokes system from incomplete velocity measurements *Inverse Problems* **33** 105003

- [26] Imanuvilov O Y 2002 On Carleman estimates for hyperbolic equations *Asymptot. Anal.* **32** 185–220
- [27] Imanuvilov O Y and Yamamoto M 2001 Global Lipschitz stability in an inverse hyperbolic problem by interior observations *Inverse Problems* **17** 717–28 (Special issue to celebrate Pierre Sabatier's 65th birthday (Montpellier, 2000))
- [28] Imanuvilov O Y and Yamamoto M 2001 Global uniqueness and stability in determining coefficients of wave equations *Comm. PDE* **26** 1409–25
- [29] Imanuvilov O Y and Yamamoto M 2003 Determination of a coefficient in an acoustic equation with a single measurement *Inverse Problems* **19** 157–71
- [30] Isakov V 2006 *Inverse Problems for Partial Differential Equations (Applied Mathematical Sciences vol 127)* 2nd edn (New York: Springer) (<https://doi.org/10.1007/0-387-32183-7>)
- [31] Jiang D, Liu Y and Yamamoto M 2017 Inverse source problem for a wave equation with final observation data *Mathematical Analysis of Continuum Mechanics and Industrial Applications: Proc. of the Int. Conf. CoMFoSI5* (Berlin: Springer) (https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-2633-1_11)
- [32] Klibanov M V 1992 Inverse problems and Carleman estimates *Inverse Problems* **8** 575–96
- [33] Klibanov M V and Yamamoto M 2006 Lipschitz stability of an inverse problem for an acoustic equation *Appl. Anal.* **85** 515–38
- [34] Lions J L 1988 *Contrôlabilité Exacte, Perturbations et Stabilisation de Systèmes Distribués. Tome 1 (Recherches en Mathématiques Appliquées (Research in Applied Mathematics) vol 8)* (Paris: Masson) (Contrôlabilité exacte. (Exact controllability), With appendices by E Zuazua, C Bardos, G Lebeau and J Rauch)
- [35] Lions J L and Magenes E 1961 Problèmes aux limites non homogènes. II *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **11** 137–78
- [36] Tebou L 2008 Locally distributed desensitizing controls for the wave equation *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci., Paris* **346** 407–12
- [37] Yamamoto M 1995 Stability, reconstruction formula and regularization for an inverse source hyperbolic problem by a control method *Inverse Problems* **11** 481–96
- [38] Yamamoto M 1999 Uniqueness and stability in multidimensional hyperbolic inverse problems *J. Math. Pures Appl.* **78** 65–98