Some controllability results with a reduced number of controls Quelques résultats de contrôlabilité avec un nombre réduit de contrôles Matinée Contrôle

> Nicolás Carreño Laboratoire Jacques-Louis Lions UPMC (Thesis advisor: Sergio Guerrero)

> > March 21th, 2013

・ロト ・ 同 ト ・ 三 ト ・ 三 ・ うへつ

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ - 三 - のへで









Perspectives and some references

Local null controllability of Navier-Stokes

- Ω bounded connected regular open subset of \mathbb{R}^3
- *T* > 0
- $\omega \subset \Omega$ (control set), $Q := \Omega \times (0, T)$, $\Sigma := \partial \Omega \times (0, T)$

$$\begin{cases} y_t - \Delta y + (y \cdot \nabla)y + \nabla p = (v_1, v_2, 0) \mathbb{1}_{\omega}, \ \nabla \cdot y = 0 & \text{in } Q, \\ y = 0 & \text{on } \Sigma, \\ y(0) = y^0 & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases}$$

where v_1 and v_2 stand for the controls which act over the set ω .

Local null controllability problem:

If $||y^0||$ is small enough, can we find controls v_1 and v_2 in $L^2(\omega \times (0, T))$ such that y(T) = 0?

・ロト ・ 語 ・ ・ 語 ・ ・ 語 ・ ・ の へ ()・

Some results

- First results by Fernández-Cara, Guerrero, Imanuvilov, Puel (2006) when *w* ∩ ∂Ω ≠ Ø (We are interested in removing this geometric condition)
- Coron, Guerrero (2009) Null controllability of the Stokes system for a general $\omega\subset\Omega$

$$y_t - \Delta y + \nabla p = (v_1, v_2, 0) \mathbb{1}_{\omega}, \, \nabla \cdot y = 0, \, y|_{\Sigma} = 0$$

 Recently, Lissy (2012), Local null controllability of <u>Navier-Stokes</u> with (v₁, 0, 0) (Return method)

Our result

Theorem (C., Guerrero) Local null controllability for general ω

For every T > 0 and $\omega \subset \Omega$, the N-S system is locally null controllable by a control $(v_1, v_2, 0) \in L^2(\omega \times (0, T))^3$ (or $(v_1, 0, v_3)$, or $(0, v_2, v_3)$).

▲ロ ▶ ▲ 理 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ■ ● ● ● ● ●

Method of proof

• Linearization around zero

$$y_t - \Delta y + \nabla p = f + (\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{0}) \mathbb{1}_{\omega}, \ \nabla \cdot y = \mathbf{0}, \ y|_{\Sigma} = \mathbf{0}$$

• Null controllability of the linearized system (Main part of the proof). Main tool: Carleman estimate for the adjoint system

$$-\varphi_t - \Delta \varphi + \nabla \pi = g, \ \nabla \cdot \varphi = 0, \ \varphi|_{\Sigma} = 0$$

There exists a constant C > 0 (depending on Ω , ω , T)

$$\int_{Q} \rho_1(t) |\varphi|^2 \leq C \Bigg(\int_{Q} \rho_2(t) |\boldsymbol{g}|^2 + \int_{\omega \times (0,T)} \rho_3(t) (|\varphi_1|^2 + |\varphi_2|^2) \Bigg)$$

Inverse mapping theorem for the nonlinear system

$$\mathcal{A} = (y_t - \Delta y + (y \cdot \nabla)y + \nabla p - (v_1, v_2, 0)\mathbb{1}_{\omega}, y(0))$$

Boussinesq system

$$\begin{cases} y_t - \Delta y + (y \cdot \nabla)y + \nabla p = (v_1, 0, 0)\mathbb{1}_{\omega} + (0, 0, \theta), \ \nabla \cdot y = 0 & \text{in } Q, \\ \theta_1 - \Delta \theta + y_1 \cdot \nabla \theta - y_2 \mathbb{1} & \text{in } Q \end{cases}$$

$$y = 0, \ \theta = 0$$

$$y(0) = y^{0}, \ \theta(0) = \theta^{0}$$

in Ω ,

- y : Velocity, θ : Temperature
- Same method applied to N-S to control with $(v_1, 0, v_3)$ and v_0
- We use θ to control the third equation and set $v_3 \equiv 0$

Theorem

For every T > 0 and $\omega \subset \Omega$, the Boussinesq system is locally null controllable by controls $v_0 \in L^2(\omega \times (0, T))$ and $(v_1, 0, 0) \in L^2(\omega \times (0, T))^3$ (or $(0, v_2, 0)$).

A coupled Navier-Stokes system

We consider the following null controllability problem: To find a control $v = (v_1, v_2, 0)$ such that z(0) = 0, where

$$\begin{cases} w_t - \Delta w + (w \cdot \nabla)w + \nabla p^0 = f + (v_1, v_2, 0)\mathbb{1}_{\omega}, \nabla \cdot w = 0 & \text{in } Q, \\ -z_t - \Delta z + (z \cdot \nabla^t)w - (w \cdot \nabla)z + \nabla q = w\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{O}}, \nabla \cdot z = 0 & \text{in } Q, \\ w = z = 0 & \text{on } \Sigma, \\ w(0) = y^0, z(T) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

- z is controlled by $w1_{\mathcal{O}}$
- Application to insensitizing controls for Navier-Stokes

Theorem (joint work with M. Gueye) Assume $y^0 = 0$, $||e^{K/t^{10}}f||_{L^2(Q)^3} < \infty$ and $\mathcal{O} \cap \omega \neq \emptyset$. The previous system is null controllable by a control $(v_1, v_2, 0) \in L^2(\omega \times (0, T))^3$ (or $(v_1, 0, v_3)$, or $(0, v_2, v_3)$).

Perspectives and some references

• Local exact controllability to the trajectories for Navier-Stokes

$$-\varphi_t - \Delta \varphi + \bar{\mathbf{y}} \cdot \mathbf{D} \varphi + \nabla \pi = \mathbf{g}$$

- Boundary controllability with one vanishing component (taking the trace of an extended controlled solution does not work)
- No control in the heat equation for the Boussinesq system (i.e., $\nu_0\equiv 0)$

N. C. and S. Guerrero, Local null controllability of the N-dimensional Navier-Stokes system with N - 1 scalar controls in an arbitrary control domain, J. Math. Fluid Mech., 15 (2013), no. 1, 139–153.



N. C. and M. Gueye, Insensitizing controls with one vanishing component for the Navier-Stokes system, to appear in J. Math. Pures Appl.



N. C., S. Guerrero and M. Gueye, Insensitizing controls with two vanishing components for the three-dimensional Boussinesq system, submitted.

