Control of parabolic systems and application to a hierarchical control problem

Nicolás Carreño

Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María

Séminaire du Département Automatique Gipsa-lab, Grenoble

September 14th, 2017

Outline

Introduction

Observability and Carleman estimates Systems

Application to a multi-objective control problem

Other results

Outline

Introduction

Observability and Carleman estimates Systems

Application to a multi-objective control problem

Other results

PDE control system

A control system governed by a partial differential equation can be formulated

$$\begin{cases} y'(t) = f(t, y(t), \mathbf{v(t)}), & t > 0 \\ y(0) = y_0, \end{cases}$$

- ightharpoonup y(t) is the state of the system.
- \triangleright v(t) is the control.
- Controllability problem: Given y_0 and T > 0, find v(t) driving y(t) to a target y_1 at time T, that is, $y(T) = y_1$.
- Controllability types
 - Exact.
 - ▶ Null: y(T) = 0.
 - ▶ Approximate: y(T) close to y_1 .
 - ▶ Local: y_0 close to y_1 .

PDE control

Example: Heat equation



Consider a regular open $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ and $\omega \subset \Omega$ (control domain)

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} y_t - \Delta y = \mathbf{v} \mathbb{1}_\omega & (x,t) \in \Omega \times (0,T), \\ y = 0 & x \in \partial \Omega, \\ y(0) = y_0 & x \in \Omega, \end{array} \right.$$

- y = y(x,t): Temperature distribution.
- $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}, t)$: Control supported in ω .

Question: Given T > 0 and $y_1 = y_1(x)$, is there v such that $y(T) = y_1$?



PDE control

Answer: In general, the answer is no due to the *regularizing effect*.

It seems natural to consider the notion of control to the trajectories:
 Consider a solution of

$$\begin{cases} \bar{y}_t - \Delta \bar{y} = 0 & (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T), \\ \bar{y} = 0 & x \in \partial \Omega, \\ \bar{y}(0) = \bar{y}_0 & x \in \Omega, \end{cases}$$

We look for a control v such that $y(T) = \bar{y}(T)$.

• By linearity (taking $\widetilde{y} := y - \overline{y}$), this is equivalent to the null controllability:

$$y(T) = 0.$$

Therefore, we concentrate in this case.



Duality Method: Hilbert Uniqueness Method (HUM)

Construction of the control:

• We multiply $y_t - \Delta y = v \mathbb{1}_{\omega}$ by φ solution to the (adjoint) equation

$$\begin{cases} -\varphi_t - \Delta \varphi = 0 & (x,t) \in \Omega \times (0,T), \\ \varphi = 0 & x \in \partial \Omega, \\ \varphi(T) = \varphi_T \in L^2(\Omega) & x \in \Omega, \end{cases}$$

and integrate in $\Omega \times (0,T)$:

$$\int_{\Omega} y(T)\varphi_T \, \mathrm{d}x = \iint_{\omega \times (0,T)} \mathbf{v}\varphi \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}t + \int_{\Omega} y_0 \varphi(0) \, \mathrm{d}x, \quad \forall \varphi_T \in L^2(\Omega).$$

ullet v is a control such that y(T)=0 if and only if

$$\iint_{\omega \times (0,T)} \mathbf{v} \varphi \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}t + \int_{\Omega} y_0 \varphi(0) \, \mathrm{d}x = 0, \quad \forall \varphi_T \in L^2(\Omega).$$



000000

Observability inequality

The previous condition can be seen as an optimality condition for

$$J(\varphi_T) = \frac{1}{2} \iint_{\omega \times (0,T)} |\varphi|^2 dx dt + \int_{\Omega} y_0 \varphi(0) dx.$$

• J convex, continuous and coercive if there exists C>0 such that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\varphi(0)|^2 dx \le C \iint_{\omega \times (0,T)} |\varphi|^2 dx dt.$$

This is known as observability inequality.

The control is given by

$$\mathbf{v} := \widehat{\varphi},$$

where $\widehat{\varphi}$ is the solution of the adjoint equation associated to $\widehat{\varphi}_T$, minimum of J.

• Null controllability is equivalent to observability.



Carleman estimates

How to prove the observability inequality?

Powerful tool to prove observability: Carleman estimates

$$\iint_{\Omega \times (0,T)} \rho |\varphi|^2 dx dt \le C \iint_{\Omega \times (0,T)} \rho |\varphi_t + \Delta \varphi|^2 dx dt + C \iint_{\omega \times (0,T)} \rho |\varphi|^2 dx dt$$

- $ightharpoonup \varphi(x,t)=0, x\in\partial\Omega.$
- $\rho = \rho(x,t)$ is a positive function and continuous in $\overline{\Omega} \times (0,T)$ with critical points only in ω .
- ▶ To deduce the observability, we use dissipation properties as

$$\int_{\Omega} |\varphi(0)|^2 dx \le \int_{\Omega} |\varphi(t)|^2 dx, \quad t \in (0, T).$$



Control of a system of two equations with one control

Consider the system with one scalar control

$$\begin{cases} y_t - \Delta y = z + \mathbf{v} \mathbb{1}_{\omega} & (x,t) \in \Omega \times (0,T), \\ z_t - \Delta z = y & (x,t) \in \Omega \times (0,T), \\ y = z = 0 & x \in \partial \Omega, \\ y(0) = y^0, \quad z(0) = z^0 & x \in \Omega. \end{cases}$$

- We look for v such that y(T) = z(T) = 0.
- ullet Observability inequality: There exists C>0 such that

$$\int_{\Omega} (|\varphi(0)|^2 + |\psi(0)|^2) dx \le C \iint_{\omega \times (0,T)} |\varphi|^2 dx dt$$

where (φ, ψ) is the solution to the adjoint system

$$\begin{cases} -\varphi_t - \Delta \varphi = \psi & (x,t) \in \Omega \times (0,T), \\ -\psi_t - \Delta \psi = \varphi & (x,t) \in \Omega \times (0,T), \\ \varphi = \psi = 0 & x \in \partial \Omega, \\ \varphi(T) = \varphi_T, \quad \psi(T) = \psi_T & x \in \Omega. \end{cases}$$



Control of a system of two equations with one control

ullet The idea is to combine Carleman estimates for arphi and ψ :

$$\iint_{\Omega \times (0,T)} \rho_1 |\varphi|^2 dx dt \le C \iint_{\Omega \times (0,T)} \rho_2 |\psi|^2 dx dt + C \iint_{\omega \times (0,T)} \rho_1 |\varphi|^2 dx dt$$

$$\iint_{\Omega \times (0,T)} \rho_1 |\psi|^2 dx dt \le C \iint_{\Omega \times (0,T)} \rho_2 |\varphi|^2 dx dt + C \iint_{\omega \times (0,T)} \rho_1 |\psi|^2 dx dt$$

We can choose the weights such that $\rho_2 \leq \frac{1}{4C}\rho_1$, but we need to estimate the local term of ψ . We use the equation $(\psi = -\varphi_t - \Delta\varphi)$

$$\iint_{\omega \times (0,T)} \rho_{1} |\psi|^{2} dx dt = \iint_{\omega \times (0,T)} \rho_{1} \psi (-\varphi_{t} - \Delta \varphi) dx dt$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{8C} \iint_{\rho_{1}} \rho_{1} |\psi|^{2} dx dt + C \iint_{\rho_{1}} \rho_{1} |\varphi|^{2} dx dt.$$

 $\omega \times (0,T)$

 $\omega \times (0,T)$

Systems

$$\begin{cases} y_t - \Delta y = z + \mathbf{v} \mathbb{1}_{\omega} & (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T), \\ z_t - \Delta z = y & (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T), \\ y = z = 0 & x \in \partial \Omega, \\ y(0) = y^0, \quad z(0) = z^0 & x \in \Omega. \end{cases}$$

• Then, there is v such that y(T) = z(T) = 0.

Variant: Systems coupled by $\mathcal{O} \subset \Omega$.

$$\begin{cases} y_t - \Delta y = z + v \mathbb{1}_{\omega} & (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T), \\ z_t - \Delta z = y \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{O}} & (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T). \end{cases}$$

• In this case, if $\mathcal{O} \cap \omega \neq \emptyset$, then there exists v such that y(T) = z(T) = 0.

Outline

Introduction

Observability and Carleman estimates
Systems

Application to a multi-objective control problem

Other results

Mono-objective vs Multi-objective

Standard control problem:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} y_t + \mathcal{A}(y) = \mathbf{f} \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{O}} & \text{ in } \Omega \times (0, T) \\ y(0) = y_0 & \text{ in } \Omega \end{array} \right.$$

- $f \longrightarrow y(T) = 0 \text{ in } \Omega.$
- Control problem with more agents:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} y_t + \mathcal{A}(y) = f \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{O}} + \frac{\mathbf{v_1}}{\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{O}_1}} + \frac{\mathbf{v_2}}{\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{O}_2}} & \text{ in } \Omega \times (0, T) \\ y(0) = y_0 & \text{ in } \Omega \end{array} \right.$$

- $f \longrightarrow y(T) = 0$ in Ω .
- $v_1 \longrightarrow y \approx y_{1,d}$ in $\mathcal{O}_{1,d} \subset \Omega$.
- $v_2 \longrightarrow y \approx y_{2,d}$ in $\mathcal{O}_{2,d} \subset \Omega$.

Motivation: resort lake

$$\begin{cases} y_t + \mathcal{A}(y) = f \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{O}} + \mathbf{v_1} \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{O}_1} + \mathbf{v_2} \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{O}_2} & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T) \\ y(0) = y_0 & \text{in } \Omega \end{cases}$$

- ▶ Lake represented by $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$.
- y = y(x,t): concentration of chemicals or of living organisms in the lake.
- ▶ Local agents P_1 , P_2 that can decide their policy v_1 , v_2 acting on \mathcal{O}_1 , \mathcal{O}_2 (The followers).
- lacktriangle The manager of the resort decides the policy f acting on $\mathcal O$ (The leader).
- ▶ Goal of the manager: "Clean" the lake at time T(y(T) = 0).
- ► Goal of the agents: To be close to a target concentration $y_{i,d}$ in $\mathcal{O}_{i,d} \subset \Omega$ during the time interval (0,T) $(y \approx y_{i,d}$ in $\mathcal{O}_{i,d})$.



A linear fourth-order equation

Let $Q := (0, L) \times (0, T)$, f the leader, v_1 and v_2 the followers.

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} y_t + y_{xxxx} = f \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{O}} + \underbrace{v_1} \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{O}_1} + \underbrace{v_2} \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{O}_2} & \text{in } Q \\ y_{|x=0} = y_{x|x=0} = 0 = y_{|x=L} = y_{x|x=L} & \text{in } (0,T) \\ y(0) = y_0 & \text{in } (0,L) \end{array} \right.$$

Consider the functionals (i = 1, 2): $\alpha_i > 0, \mu_i > 0$

$$J_i(f; \mathbf{v_1}, \mathbf{v_2}) = \frac{\alpha_i}{2} \iint_{\mathcal{O}_{i,d} \times (0,T)} |y - y_{i,d}|^2 dx dt + \frac{\mu_i}{2} \iint_{\mathcal{O}_i \times (0,T)} |\mathbf{v_i}|^2 dx dt$$

▶ Task of the followers: $y \approx y_{i,d}$ in $\mathcal{O}_{i,d}$ with "little effort"

$$\min J_i(f; v_1, v_2), \quad i = 1, 2.$$

▶ Task of the leader: y(T) = 0 in Ω .



The Stackelberg-Nash strategy

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} y_t + y_{xxxx} = f \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{O}} + \underbrace{v_1} \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{O}_1} + \underbrace{v_2} \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{O}_2} & \text{in } Q \\ y_{|x=0} = y_{x|x=0} = 0 = y_{|x=L} = y_{x|x=L} & \text{in } (0,T) \\ y(0) = y_0 & \text{in } (0,L) \end{array} \right.$$

• **Step 1**: For fixed f, find a Nash equilibrium (v_1, v_2) :

$$J_1(f; v_1, v_2) = \min_{\hat{v}_1} J_1(f; \hat{v}_1, v_2), \quad J_2(f; v_1, v_2) = \min_{\hat{v}_2} J_2(f; v_1, \hat{v}_2)$$

Of course, this equilibrium depends on f: $v_1 = v_1(f)$, $v_2 = v_2(f)$.

• Step 2: Find f such that y(T) = 0.

This is the Stackelberg-Nash strategy.



Optimality system

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} y_t + y_{xxxx} = f \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{O}} + \textcolor{red}{v_1} \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{O}_1} + \textcolor{red}{v_2} \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{O}_2} & \text{in } Q \\ y_{|x=0} = y_{x|x=0} = 0 = y_{|x=L} = y_{x|x=L} & \text{in } (0,T) \\ y(0) = y_0 & \text{in } (0,L) \end{array} \right.$$

ullet Nash equilibrium is equivalent to (due to the convexity of J_i)

$$\begin{cases} J'_1(f; \mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2)(\hat{v}_1, 0) = 0 & \forall \hat{v}_1 \in L^2(\mathcal{O}_1 \times (0, T)) \\ J'_2(f; \mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2)(0, \hat{v}_2) = 0 & \forall \hat{v}_2 \in L^2(\mathcal{O}_2 \times (0, T)) \end{cases}$$

Characterization of Nash equilibrium: Optimality system

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} y_t + y_{xxxx} = f \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{O}} - \frac{1}{\mu_1} \phi^1 \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{O}_1} - \frac{1}{\mu_2} \phi^2 \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{O}_2} & \text{in } Q \\ -\phi_t^i + \phi_{xxxx}^i = \alpha_i (y - y_{i,d}) \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{O}_{i,d}} & \text{in } Q \\ y(0) = y_0, \quad \phi^i(T) = 0 & \text{in } (0,L) \end{array} \right.$$

- ► Followers: $v_1 = -\frac{1}{\mu_1}\phi^1$ and $v_2 = -\frac{1}{\mu_2}\phi^2$.
- ▶ Leader: y(T) = 0.



Result

Theorem¹

Assume:

- $\mu_i >> 1$ (existence of Nash equilibrium).
- \triangleright $\mathcal{O}_{i,d} \cap \mathcal{O} \neq \emptyset$, i = 1, 2 (No assumptions on \mathcal{O}_i).

There exist (a leader control) $f \in L^2(\mathcal{O} \times (0,T))$ and a Nash equilibrium for J_i (followers) $(v_1(f), v_2(f))$ such that y(T) = 0 in Ω .

- On the assumption $\mathcal{O}_{i,d} \cap \mathcal{O} \neq \emptyset$. Two cases:
 - 1. $\mathcal{O}_{1,d} = \mathcal{O}_{2,d}$.
 - 2. $\mathcal{O}_{1,d} \neq \mathcal{O}_{2,d}$: $\mathcal{O}_{1,d} \cap \mathcal{O} \neq \mathcal{O}_{2,d} \cap \mathcal{O}$ (different inside \mathcal{O}).

¹C., Santos. Stackelberg-Nash exact controllability for the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation. Submitted, 2017. Available at http://ncarreno.mat.utfsm.cl

Adjoint system

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} -\psi_t + \psi_{xxxx} = \alpha_1 \gamma^1 \mathbbm{1}_{\mathcal{O}_{1,d}} + \alpha_2 \gamma^2 \mathbbm{1}_{\mathcal{O}_{2,d}} & \text{ in } Q \\ \gamma_t^i + \gamma_{xxxx}^i = -\frac{1}{\mu_i} \psi \mathbbm{1}_{\mathcal{O}_i} & \text{ in } Q \\ \psi(T) = \psi_T, \quad \gamma^i(0) = 0 & \text{ in } (0,L) \end{array} \right.$$

Observability inequality:

$$\int_{\Omega} |\psi(0)|^2 dx + \sum_{i=1,2} \iint_{Q} \rho(t)^{-2} |\gamma^i|^2 dx dt \le C \iint_{\mathcal{O} \times (0,T)} |\psi|^2 dx dt$$

Main tools:

- ▶ Carleman estimates for the fourth-order operator $\pm u_t + u_{xxxx}$.
- ► Energy estimates.



Observability inequality: general idea

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} -\psi_t + \psi_{xxxx} = \alpha_1 \gamma^1 \mathbbm{1}_{\mathcal{O}_{1,d}} + \alpha_2 \gamma^2 \mathbbm{1}_{\mathcal{O}_{2,d}} & \text{in } Q \\ \gamma_t^i + \gamma_{xxxx}^i = -\frac{1}{\mu_i} \psi \mathbbm{1}_{\mathcal{O}_i} & \text{in } Q \end{array} \right.$$

Classic approach: Fix $\omega \subset\subset \mathcal{O}_{i,d}\cap\mathcal{O}$.

• Carleman estimate for ψ , γ^1 and γ^2 :

$$I(\psi) + I(\gamma^1) + I(\gamma^2) \le C \iint_{\omega \times (0,T)} \rho(|\psi|^2 + |\gamma^1|^2 + |\gamma^2|^2) dx dt.$$

Here, $I(\cdot)$ is the weighted energy, and ρ is the weight with critical points only in ω .

- Write γ^1 and γ^2 in terms of ψ using the coupling in $\mathcal{O}_{i,d} \cap \mathcal{O}$, i = 1, 2.
- Problem: we have a "loop"

$$I_{\omega}(\gamma^1) \lesssim I_{\omega}(\psi) + I_{\omega}(\gamma^2)$$

$$I_{\omega}(\gamma^2) \lesssim I_{\omega}(\psi) + I_{\omega}(\gamma^1)$$



Observability inequality. Case $\mathcal{O}_{1,d} = \mathcal{O}_{2,d}$

• Solution 1: If $\mathcal{O}_{1,d} = \mathcal{O}_{2,d} = \mathcal{O}_d$, let $h := \alpha_1 \gamma^1 + \alpha_2 \gamma^2$.

$$\begin{cases} -\psi_t + \psi_{xxxx} = h \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{O}_d} & \text{in } Q \\ h_t + h_{xxxx} = -\frac{1}{\mu_1} \psi \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{O}_1} - \frac{1}{\mu_2} \psi \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{O}_2} & \text{in } Q \end{cases}$$

Same approach:

 $\qquad \qquad \omega \subset\subset \mathcal{O}_d\cap \mathcal{O}$

$$I(\psi) + I(h) \le C(I_{\omega}(\psi) + I_{\omega}(h)).$$

- ▶ Using the equation: $I_{\omega}(h) \lesssim I_{\omega}(\psi)$.
- From energy estimates

$$\int_{\Omega} |\psi(0)|^2 dx + I(\psi) + I(h) \le CI_{\omega}(\psi)$$



Observability inequality. Case $\mathcal{O}_{1,d} \neq \mathcal{O}_{2,d}$

Suppose $\mathcal{O}_{1,d} \cap \mathcal{O} \neq \mathcal{O}_{2,d} \cap \mathcal{O}$.

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} -\psi_t + \psi_{xxxx} = \alpha_1 \gamma^1 \mathbbm{1}_{\mathcal{O}_{1,d}} + \alpha_2 \gamma^2 \mathbbm{1}_{\mathcal{O}_{2,d}} & \text{in } Q \\ \gamma_t^i + \gamma_{xxxx}^i = -\frac{1}{\mu_i} \psi \mathbbm{1}_{\mathcal{O}_i} & \text{in } Q \end{array} \right.$$

- <u>Solution 2</u>: A way around the "loop situation": Use two different weight functions (associated to ω_1 and ω_2).
 - ▶ Carleman estimate for $\omega_1 \subset\subset \mathcal{O}_{1,d} \cap \mathcal{O}$, and $\omega_1 \cap \mathcal{O}_{2,d} \neq \emptyset$.
 - ▶ Carleman estimate for $\omega_2 \subset\subset \mathcal{O}_{2,d}\cap\mathcal{O}$, and $\omega_2\cap\mathcal{O}_{1,d}\neq\emptyset$.
 - ▶ This way, they "do not see" each other:

$$I_{\omega_1}^1(\gamma^1) \lesssim I_{\omega_1}^1(\psi) \text{ and } I_{\omega_2}^2(\gamma^2) \lesssim I_{\omega_2}^2(\psi).$$

- This idea is due to S. Guerrero and M. C. Santos.
- **Important:** Weight functions should be <u>equal outside</u> \mathcal{O} , so we can compare the global terms coming from the Carleman estimates.



Outline

Introduction

Observability and Carleman estimates
Systems

Application to a multi-objective control problem

Other results



Null controllability of the Navier-Stokes system

 $ightharpoonup \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$. Fluid contained in Ω .

$$\begin{cases} y_t - \Delta y + (y \cdot \nabla)y + \nabla p = \mathbf{v} \mathbb{1}_{\omega}, & \nabla \cdot y = 0 \quad (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T), \\ y = 0 & x \in \partial \Omega \\ y(0) = y^0 & x \in \Omega. \end{cases}$$

- y = y(x, t): Velocity field.
- $v = (v_1, v_2, v_3)$ is the control.
- ightharpoonup Controls of the form $v = (0, v_2, v_3)$.
- ▶ Local result: There exists $\delta > 0$ such that if $\|y^0\| \le \delta$, then there is a control of the form $v = (0, v_2, v_3)$ and an associated solution (y, p) such that

$$y(T) = 0.$$



Null controllability of the Boussinesq system

$$\begin{cases} y_t - \Delta y + (y \cdot \nabla)y + \nabla p &=& \mathbf{v} \mathbb{1}_\omega + (0,0,\theta), \quad \nabla \cdot y = 0 & (x,t) \in \Omega \times (0,T), \\ \theta_t - \Delta \theta + y \cdot \nabla \theta &=& \mathbf{v}_0 \mathbb{1}_\omega & (x,t) \in \Omega \times (0,T), \\ y = 0, \quad \theta = 0 & x \in \partial \Omega \\ y(0) = y^0, \quad \theta(0) = \theta^0 & x \in \Omega. \end{cases}$$

- y = y(x, t): Velocity field.
- $\theta = \theta(x, t)$: Temperature of the fluid.
- Local result: There exists $\delta > 0$ such that if $\|(y^0, \theta^0)\| \le \delta$, there are controls v_0 and $v = (v_1, 0, 0)$ and an associated solution (y, p, θ) such that

$$y(T) = 0$$
 and $\theta(T) = 0$.



Thank you

Please take a souvenir from UTFSM!