Boundary null-controllability of a system coupling fourth- and second-order parabolic equations

Nicolás Carreño
Departamento de Matemática
Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María

XXXII Jornada de Matemática de la Zona Sur
Sesión Ecuaciones Diferenciales Parciales

April 25th, 2019

Outline

Control problem for the $(1D)$ heat equation

Distributed control:

$$\begin{cases} u_t - u_{xx} = f\,1_\omega, & t \in (0,T),\ x \in (0,L), \\ u(t,0) = 0,\ u(t,\pi) = 0 & t \in (0,T), \\ u(0,x) = u_0(x), & x \in (0,L). \end{cases}$$

Boundary control:

$$\begin{cases} u_t - u_{xx} = 0, & t \in (0,T),\ x \in (0,L), \\ u(t,0) = h(t),\ u(t,L) = 0 & t \in (0,T), \\ u(0,x) = u_0(x), & x \in (0,L). \end{cases}$$

Null-controllability problem: Given $T > 0$ and initial condition $u_0(x)$, can we find a control (f or h) such that the associated solution satisfies $u(T,x) = 0$?

Goal of this talk

Goal of this talk: To present some controllability results concerning systems coupling (one-dimensional) fourth- and second-order parabolic equations.
For instance:

$$\begin{cases} u_t + u_{xxxx} = 0 & \text{in } (0,T)\times(0,L), \\ u(0,t)=0, u(L,t)=0 & \text{in } (0,T), \\ u_x(0,t)=0, u_x(L,t)=0 & \text{in } (0,T), \\ u(x,0)=u_0(x) & \text{in } (0,L), \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} v_t - v_{xx} = 0 & \text{in } (0,T)\times(0,L), \\ v(0,t)=0, v(L,t)=0 & \text{in } (0,T), \\ v(x,0)=v_0(x) & \text{in } (0,L). \end{cases}$$

Goal of this talk

- Many possibilities:
  - Different kinds of coupling.
  - Distributed controls (In which equation? both? just one?).
  - Boundary controls (Where in the boundary? everywhere or just some?)

- Here we will focus on two types of problem, which are treated with two methods:
  - One distributed control with first-order coupling (Carleman estimates).
  - One boundary control for a cascade system (Moments method).

Stabilized Kuramoto-Sivashinsky system in a bounded domain

Consider the fourth-second-order parabolic system:

$$\begin{cases} u_t + \gamma u_{xxxx} + u_{xxx} + a u_{xx} + u u_x = v_x + f 1\!\!1_\omega & \text{in } (0,T)\times(0,L), \\ v_t - \Gamma v_{xx} + c v_x = u_x + h 1\!\!1_\omega & \text{in } (0,T)\times(0,L), \\ u(0,t) = u_x(0,t) = 0, \quad u(L,t) = u_x(L,t) = 0 & \text{in } (0,T), \\ v(0,t) = 0, \quad v(L,t) = 0 & \text{in } (0,T), \\ u(x,0) = u_0(x), \quad v(x,0) = v_0(x) & \text{in } (0,L), \end{cases}$$

where $\gamma, a, \Gamma > 0$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$ are fixed parameters, and f and h are the controls acting on $\omega \subset (0,L)$.
Of course, the interesting case is when

- $h \equiv 0$; or
- $f \equiv 0$.

Distributed controls

$$\begin{cases} u_t + \gamma u_{xxxx} + u_{xxx} + a u_{xx} + u u_x = v_x + f\mathbb{1}_\omega & \text{in } (0,T)\times(0,L), \\ v_t - \Gamma v_{xx} + c v_x = u_x + h\mathbb{1}_\omega & \text{in } (0,T)\times(0,L). \end{cases}$$

Theorem (Cerpa-Mercado-Pazoto (2015), C-Cerpa (2016))

Let $T > 0$. Then, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for any initial conditions $u_0 \in H^{-2}(0,L)$ and $v_0 \in H^{-1}(0,L)$ verifying

$$\|u_0\|_{H^{-2}(0,L)} + \|v_0\|_{H^{-1}(0,L)} \leq \delta,$$

there exists a control pair

$$(f,0) \text{ or } (0,h) \text{ in } L^2(\omega \times (0,L))$$

such that the solution $(u,v) \in L^2((0,T)\times(0,L))^2 \cap C([0,T]; H^{-2}(0,L)\times H^{-1}(0,L))$ of the SKS system satisfies

$$u(\cdot,T) = 0 \text{ and } v(\cdot,T) = 0 \text{ in } (0,L).$$

Boundary controls

Similar result using Carleman estimates for the system:

$$\begin{cases} u_t + \gamma u_{xxxx} + u_{xxx} + a u_{xx} + u u_x = v_x & \text{in } (0,T)\times(0,L), \\ v_t - \Gamma v_{xx} + c v_x = u_x & \text{in } (0,T)\times(0,L), \\ u(0,t) = h_1(t), \quad u(L,t) = 0 & \text{in } (0,T), \\ u_x(0,t) = h_2(t), \quad u_x(L,t) = 0 & \text{in } (0,T), \\ v(0,t) = h_3(t), \quad v(L,t) = 0 & \text{in } (0,T), \\ u(x,0) = u_0(x), \quad v(x,0) = v_0(x) & \text{in } (0,L). \end{cases}$$

- Local null-controllability result from Cerpa-Mercado-Pazoto (2012).

A cascade system with one control

Consider the system

$$
\begin{cases}
u_t + u_{xxxx} = v, & t \in (0,T),\ x \in (0,\pi),\\
v_t - d v_{xx} = 0, & t \in (0,T),\ x \in (0,\pi),\\
u(t,0) = u_{xx}(t,0) = 0, & t \in (0,T),\\
u(t,\pi) = u_{xx}(t,\pi) = 0, & t \in (0,T),\\
v(t,0) = h(t),\ v(t,\pi) = 0, & t \in (0,T).
\end{cases}
$$

<u>Goal</u>: Study controllability properties in terms of the diffusion coefficient $d > 0$ using the moment method, introduced by Fattorini and Russell (1971).

Quick overview of the Moment Method

Consider the one-dimensional heat equation with a boundary control:

$$\begin{cases} u_t - u_{xx} = 0, & t \in (0,T),\ x \in (0,\pi), \\ u(t,0) = h(t),\ u(t,\pi) = 0 & t \in (0,T), \\ u(0,x) = u_0(x), & x \in (0,\pi). \end{cases}$$

Null-controllability at time $T > 0$ is equivalent to

$$\int_0^T h(t)\varphi_x(t,0)\ \mathrm{d}t = -\int_0^L u_0(x)\varphi(0,x)\ \mathrm{d}x, \quad \forall \varphi_T \in L^2(0,\pi),$$

where φ is the solution of the adjoint equation

$$\begin{cases} -\varphi_t - \varphi_{xx} = 0, & t \in (0,T),\ x \in (0,\pi), \\ \varphi(t,0) = \varphi(t,\pi) = 0, & t \in (0,T), \\ \varphi(T,x) = \varphi_T(x), & x \in (0,\pi). \end{cases}$$

Quick overview of the Moment Method

Using that the eigenfunctions $\{\sin(kx)\}_{k\geq 1}$ of $-\partial_{xx}$ is a basis of $L^2(0,\pi)$, writing $u_0(x) = \sum_{k\geq 1} a_k \sin(kx)$, the null-controllability is equivalent to the *moment problem*

$$k\int_0^T h(t)e^{-k^2(T-t)}\,\mathrm{d}t = e^{-k^2T}a_k\,\mathrm{d}x, \quad \forall k\geq 1,$$

or

$$k\int_0^T \tilde{h}(t)e^{-k^2t}\,\mathrm{d}t = e^{-k^2T}a_k\,\mathrm{d}x, \quad \forall k\geq 1.$$

Then, the problem is to find a family $\{q_k(t)\}_{k\geq 1}$ biorthogonal to $\{e^{-k^2t}\}_{k\geq 1}$, and such that for any $\varepsilon > 0$:

$$\|q_k\|_{L^2(0,T)} \leq C(\varepsilon,T)e^{\varepsilon k^2}, \quad \forall k\geq 1.$$

Then:

$$h(t) := \tilde{h}(T-t) = \sum_{k\geq 1} b_k q_k(T-t) \in L^2(0,T), \quad \text{with } b_k = \frac{e^{-k^2T}a_k}{k}.$$

General result for the existence of biorthogonal families

Fattorini and Russell proved a general result on existence of a biorthogonal family to $\{e^{-\lambda_k t}\}_{k\geq 1}$ in $L^2(0,T)$ for a positive sequence $\Lambda = \{\lambda_k\}_{k\geq 1}$ such that satisfies:

- $\displaystyle\sum_{k\geq 1} \frac{1}{\lambda_k} < +\infty.$
- $|\lambda_k - \lambda_m| \geq \rho |k-m|, \quad \forall k, m \geq 1$ (Gap condition).

Of course, $\Lambda = \{k^2\}_{k\geq 1}$ fulfills these properties and the previous control satisfies

$$\|h\|_{L^2(0,T)} \leq C(\varepsilon, T) \sum_{k\geq 1} \frac{|a_k|}{k} e^{-k^2(T-\varepsilon)}.$$

Extensions to systems

$$\begin{cases} y_t - (D\partial_{xx}^2 + A)y = 0, & t \in (0,T),\, x \in (0,\pi), \\ y(t,0) = Bh(t),\, y(t,L) = 0 & t \in (0,T), \\ y(0,x) = y_0(x), & x \in (0,\pi). \end{cases}$$

where $D = \mathrm{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_n)$, $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n\times n}$, $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n\times m}$.

Some results:

- Fernández-Cara et al. (2010). $D = \mathrm{Id}, n = 2, m = 1$.
- Ammar-Khodja et al. (2011). Generalization $D = \mathrm{Id}, n \geq 2, m \geq 1$.

In these works, the eigenvalues of $D\partial_{xx}^2 + A$ satisfy the gap condition, which allows to have controllability for any $T > 0$.

Back to our system

$$\begin{cases} u_t + u_{xxxx} = v, & t \in (0,T),\ x \in (0,\pi), \\ v_t - dv_{xx} = 0, & t \in (0,T),\ x \in (0,\pi), \\ u(t,0) = u_{xx}(t,0) = 0, & t \in (0,T), \\ u(t,\pi) = u_{xx}(t,\pi) = 0, & t \in (0,T), \\ v(t,0) = h(t),\ v(t,\pi) = 0, & t \in (0,T). \end{cases}$$

- The eigenvalues are given by $\Lambda = \{k^4, dk^2\}_{k\geq 1}$.
- Ideas from [Ammar-Khodja, Benabdallah, González-Burgos, de Teresa, 2014].
- A first result: If $\sqrt{d}$ is rational, the system is not approximate-controllable.
  • We can construct a solution of the adjoint system such that the unique continuation does not hold.

Condensation index and minimal time of controllability

- We assume that d is irrational. Therefore, the family $\Lambda = \{k^4, dk^2\}_{k\geq 1}$ has no repeated elements.
- In this case, there exists a biorthogonal family $\{q_k\}_{k\geq 1}$ to $\{e^{-\lambda_k t}\}_{k\geq 1}$.
- The gap condition may not be satisfied. However, it can be proved that

$$\|q_k\|_{L^2(0,T)} \leq C(\varepsilon, T) e^{(c(\Lambda)+\varepsilon)\lambda_k}$$

  where $c(\Lambda)$ is the *condensation index* of the sequence Λ. Roughly speaking, $c(\Lambda)$ is a measure of the way how λ_k approaches λ_m for $k \neq m$.
- Notice that $c(\Lambda)$ is the minimal time of null-controllability in the sense that:
  - The system is null-controllable if $T > c(\Lambda)$.
  - System is not null-controllable if $T < c(\Lambda)$.
- In particular, if Λ satisfies de gap condition: $c(\Lambda) = 0$ and the system is controllable at any time $T > 0$.

Characterization of the condensation index

- From the two branches of $\Lambda = \{k^4, dk^2\}_{k\geq 1}$, we have $c(\Lambda) = \max\{c_1, c_2\}$, where

$$c_1 := \limsup_{k\to\infty} \frac{-\ln|\sin(\pi\sqrt{k}\sqrt[4]{d})|}{dk^2} \quad \text{and} \quad c_2 := \limsup_{k\to\infty} \frac{-\ln\left|\sin\left(\frac{\pi k^2}{\sqrt{d}}\right)\right|}{k^4}.$$

- With this characterization $c(\Lambda)$, we can prove that for any $T_0 \in [0, +\infty]$, there exists d irrational such that $T_0 = c(\Lambda)$.

Theorem (C., Cerpa, Mercado (submitted))

There are $d > 0$ irrational such that the system:

1. *is null-controllable in time T for any $T > 0$;*
2. *for a given $T_0 > 0$, is null-controllable in time T if $T > T_0$ and is not null-controllable if $T < T_0$; and*
3. *is not null-controllable.*

• The previous result depends on how well d is approximated by rational numbers (technical lemmas coming from number theory).

Thank you