

Boundary null-controllability of a system coupling fourth- and second-order parabolic equations

Nicolás Carreño

Departamento de Matemática
Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María

French Latin-American Conference on
New Trends in Applied Mathematics 2019
Workshop Mathematical Mechanics and Inverse Problems
Control of PDEs session

November 6th, 2019

Outline

Introduction

A cascade system

Goal of this talk

Goal of this talk: To present some controllability results concerning systems coupling (one-dimensional) fourth- and second-order parabolic equations.

For instance:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} u_t + u_{xxxx} = 0 & \text{in } (0, T) \times (0, L), \\ u(0, t) = 0, u(L, t) = 0 & \text{in } (0, T), \\ u_x(0, t) = 0, u_x(L, t) = 0 & \text{in } (0, T), \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x) & \text{in } (0, L), \end{array} \right.$$

and

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} v_t - v_{xx} = 0 & \text{in } (0, T) \times (0, L), \\ v(0, t) = 0, v(L, t) = 0 & \text{in } (0, T), \\ v(x, 0) = v_0(x) & \text{in } (0, L). \end{array} \right.$$

Goal of this talk

- Many possibilities:
 - ▶ Different kinds of coupling.
 - ▶ Distributed controls (In which equation? both? just one?).
 - ▶ Boundary controls (Where in the boundary? everywhere or just some?)
- Here we will focus on two types of problems, which are treated with two methods:
 - ▶ One distributed control with first-order coupling (Carleman estimates).
 - ▶ One boundary control for a cascade system (Moments method).

Stabilized Kuramoto-Sivashinsky system in a bounded domain

Consider the fourth-second-order parabolic system:

$$\begin{cases} u_t + \gamma u_{xxxx} + u_{xxx} + au_{xx} + uu_x = v_x + f\mathbb{1}_\omega & \text{in } (0, T) \times (0, L), \\ v_t - \Gamma v_{xx} + cv_x = u_x + h\mathbb{1}_\omega & \text{in } (0, T) \times (0, L), \\ u(0, t) = u_x(0, t) = 0, \quad u(L, t) = u_x(L, t) = 0 & \text{in } (0, T), \\ v(0, t) = 0, \quad v(L, t) = 0 & \text{in } (0, T), \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad v(x, 0) = v_0(x) & \text{in } (0, L), \end{cases}$$

where $\gamma, a, \Gamma > 0$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$ are fixed parameters, and f and h are the controls acting on $\omega \subset (0, L)$.

Of course, the interesting case is when

- ▶ $h \equiv 0$; or
- ▶ $f \equiv 0$.

Distributed controls

$$\begin{cases} u_t + \gamma u_{xxxx} + u_{xxx} + au_{xx} + uu_x = v_x + f\mathbb{1}_\omega & \text{in } (0, T) \times (0, L), \\ v_t - \Gamma v_{xx} + cv_x = u_x + h\mathbb{1}_\omega & \text{in } (0, T) \times (0, L). \end{cases}$$

Theorem (Cerpa-Mercado-Pazoto (2015), C-Cerpa (2016))

Let $T > 0$. Then, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for any initial conditions $u_0 \in H^{-2}(0, L)$ and $v_0 \in H^{-1}(0, L)$ verifying

$$\|u_0\|_{H^{-2}(0, L)} + \|v_0\|_{H^{-1}(0, L)} \leq \delta,$$

there exists a control pair

$$(f, 0) \text{ or } (0, h) \text{ in } L^2(\omega \times (0, L))$$

such that the solution

$(u, v) \in L^2((0, T) \times (0, L))^2 \cap C([0, T]; H^{-2}(0, L) \times H^{-1}(0, L))$ of the SKS system satisfies

$$u(\cdot, T) = 0 \text{ and } v(\cdot, T) = 0 \text{ in } (0, L).$$

Boundary controls

Similar result using Carleman estimates for the system:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} u_t + \gamma u_{xxxx} + u_{xxx} + au_{xx} + uu_x = v_x + f\mathbb{1}_\omega & \text{in } (0, T) \times (0, L), \\ v_t - \Gamma v_{xx} + cv_x = u_x + h\mathbb{1}_\omega & \text{in } (0, T) \times (0, L), \\ u(0, t) = h_1(t), \quad u(L, t) = 0 & \text{in } (0, T), \\ u_x(0, t) = h_2(t), \quad u_x(L, t) = 0 & \text{in } (0, T), \\ v(0, t) = h_3(t), \quad v(L, t) = 0 & \text{in } (0, T), \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad v(x, 0) = v_0(x) & \text{in } (0, L). \end{array} \right.$$

- Local null-controllability result from Cerpa-Mercado-Pazoto (2012).

A cascade system with one control

Consider the system

$$\begin{cases} u_t + u_{xxxx} = v, & t > 0, x \in (0, \pi), \\ v_t - dv_{xx} = 0, & t > 0, x \in (0, \pi), \\ u(t, 0) = u_{xx}(t, 0) = 0, & t > 0, \\ u(t, \pi) = u_{xx}(t, \pi) = 0, & t > 0, \\ v(t, 0) = h(t), v(t, \pi) = 0, & t > 0. \end{cases}$$

Goal: Study controllability properties in terms of the diffusion coefficient $d > 0$ using the moment method, introduced by Fattorini and Russell (1971).

Quick overview of the Moment Method

Consider the one-dimensional heat equation with a boundary control:

$$\begin{cases} u_t - u_{xx} = 0, & t \in (0, T), x \in (0, \pi), \\ u(t, 0) = h(t), u(t, \pi) = 0 & t \in (0, T), \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), & x \in (0, \pi). \end{cases}$$

Null-controllability at time $T > 0$ is equivalent to

$$\int_0^T h(t) \varphi_x(t, 0) \, dt = - \int_0^L u_0(x) \varphi(0, x) \, dx, \quad \forall \varphi_T \in L^2(0, \pi),$$

where φ is the solution of the adjoint equation

$$\begin{cases} -\varphi_t - \varphi_{xx} = 0, & t \in (0, T), x \in (0, \pi), \\ \varphi(t, 0) = \varphi(t, \pi) = 0, & t \in (0, T), \\ \varphi(T, x) = \varphi_T(x), & x \in (0, \pi). \end{cases}$$

Quick overview of the Moment Method

Using that the eigenfunctions $\{\sin(kx)\}_{k \geq 1}$ of $-\partial_{xx}$ is a basis of $L^2(0, \pi)$, writing $u_0(x) = \sum_{k \geq 1} a_k \sin(kx)$, the null-controllability is equivalent to the *moment problem*

$$k \int_0^T h(t) e^{-k^2(T-t)} dt = e^{-k^2 T} a_k, \quad \forall k \geq 1,$$

or

$$k \int_0^T \tilde{h}(t) e^{-k^2 t} dt = e^{-k^2 T} a_k, \quad \forall k \geq 1.$$

Then, the problem is to find a family $\{q_k(t)\}_{k \geq 1}$ biorthogonal to $\{e^{-k^2 t}\}_{k \geq 1}$, and such that for any $\varepsilon > 0$:

$$\|q_k\|_{L^2(0,T)} \leq C(\varepsilon, T) e^{\varepsilon k^2}, \quad \forall k \geq 1.$$

Then:

$$h(t) := \tilde{h}(T-t) = \sum_{k \geq 1} b_k q_k(T-t) \in L^2(0, T), \quad \text{with } b_k = \frac{e^{-k^2 T} a_k}{k}.$$

General result for the existence of biorthogonal families

Fattorini and Russell proved a general result on existence of a biorthogonal family to $\{e^{-\lambda_k t}\}_{k \geq 1}$ in $L^2(0, T)$ for a positive sequence $\Lambda = \{\lambda_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ such that satisfies:

- ▶ $\sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{\lambda_k} < +\infty.$
- ▶ $|\lambda_k - \lambda_m| \geq \rho |k - m|, \quad \forall k, m \geq 1$ (Gap condition).

Of course, $\Lambda = \{k^2\}_{k \geq 1}$ fulfills these properties and the previous control satisfies

$$\|h\|_{L^2(0, T)} \leq C(\varepsilon, T) \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{|a_k|}{k} e^{-k^2(T-\varepsilon)}.$$

Extensions to systems

$$\begin{cases} y_t - (D\partial_{xx}^2 + A) = 0, & t \in (0, T), x \in (0, \pi), \\ u(t, 0) = Bv(t), u(t, L) = 0 & t \in (0, T), \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), & x \in (0, \pi). \end{cases}$$

where $D = \text{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_n)$, $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$.

Some results:

- ▶ Fernández-Cara et al. (2010). $D = \text{Id}, n = 2, m = 1$.
- ▶ Ammar-Khodja et al. (2011). Generalization $D = \text{Id}, n \geq 2, m \geq 1$.

In these works, the eigenvalues of $D\partial_{xx}^2 + A$ satisfy the gap condition, which allows to have controllability for any $T > 0$.

Back to our system

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} u_t + u_{xxxx} = v, & t > 0, x \in (0, \pi), \\ v_t - dv_{xx} = 0, & t > 0, x \in (0, \pi), \\ u(t, 0) = u_{xx}(t, 0) = 0, & t > 0, \\ u(t, \pi) = u_{xx}(t, \pi) = 0, & t > 0, \\ v(t, 0) = h(t), v(t, \pi) = 0, & t > 0. \end{array} \right.$$

- ▶ The eigenvalues are given by $\Lambda = \{k^4, dk^2\}_{k \geq 1}$.
- ▶ Ideas from [Ammar-Khodja, Benabdallah, González-Burgos, de Teresa, 2014].
- ▶ A first result: If \sqrt{d} is rational, the system is not approximate-controllable.
 - We can construct a solution of the adjoint system such that the unique continuation does not hold.

Condensation index and minimal time of controllability

- ▶ We assume that d is irrational. Therefore, the family $\Lambda = \{k^4, dk^2\}_{k \geq 1}$ has no repeated elements.
- ▶ In this case, there exists a biorthogonal family $\{q_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ to $\{e^{-\lambda_k t}\}_{k \geq 1}$.
- ▶ The gap condition may not be satisfied. However, it can be proved that

$$\|q_k\|_{L^2(0,T)} \leq C(\varepsilon, T) e^{(c(\Lambda) + \varepsilon)\lambda_k}$$

where $c(\Lambda)$ is the *condensation index* of the sequence Λ . Roughly speaking, $c(\Lambda)$ is a measure of the way how λ_k approaches λ_m for $k \neq m$.

- ▶ Notice that $c(\Lambda)$ is the minimal time of null-controllability in the sense that:
 - The system is null-controllable if $T > c(\Lambda)$.
 - System is not null-controllable if $T < c(\Lambda)$.
- ▶ In particular, if Λ satisfies the gap condition: $c(\Lambda) = 0$ and the system is controllable at any time $T > 0$.

Characterization of the condensation index

- From the two branches of $\Lambda = \{k^4, dk^2\}_{k \geq 1}$, we have $c(\Lambda) = \max\{c_1, c_2\}$, where

$$c_1 := \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{-\ln |\sin(\pi \sqrt{k} \sqrt[4]{d})|}{dk^2} \quad \text{and} \quad c_2 := \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{-\ln \left| \sin\left(\frac{\pi k^2}{\sqrt{d}}\right) \right|}{k^4}.$$

- With this characterization of $c(\Lambda)$, we can prove that for any $T_0 \in [0, +\infty]$, there exists d irrational such that $T_0 = c(\Lambda)$.

Theorem (C., Cerpa, Mercado (2019))

There are $d > 0$ irrational such that the system:

1. is null-controllable in time T for any $T > 0$;
 2. for a given $T_0 > 0$, is null-controllable in time T if $T > T_0$ and is not null-controllable if $T < T_0$; and
 3. is not null-controllable.
- The previous result depends on how well d is approximated by rational numbers (technical lemmas coming from number theory).

Thank you